

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE
ENFORCEMENT TEAM (CAL-MMET) PROGRAM

STATEWIDE EVALUATION
FISCAL YEAR 2007/2008

*Conducted for
California Office of Emergency Services
Drug Enforcement Section*

*Miki Vohryzek-Bolden, Ph.D. and Yvette M. Farmer, Ph.D., Lead Authors
Natalie R. Ortiz, Graduate Student*

September 2008



CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
SACRAMENTO
Division of Criminal Justice

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE
ENFORCEMENT TEAM (CAL-MMET) PROGRAM

STATEWIDE EVALUATION
FISCAL YEAR 2007/2008

*Conducted for
California Office of Emergency Services
Drug Enforcement Section*

*Miki Vohryzek-Bolden, Ph.D. and Yvette M. Farmer, Ph.D., Lead Authors
Natalie R. Ortiz, Graduate Student*

*With Assistance From
Nicholas Gaines, Graduate Student
Ben Vohryzek-Bolden*

September 2008



CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
SACRAMENTO
Division of Criminal Justice

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	7
Background.....	8
Overview of Spending By Region	9
Cal-MMET Program Activities and Accomplishments.....	9
Project Applications.....	9
Quarterly Progress Reports - Project Narratives.....	10
Project Outcomes.....	11
Summary and Conclusion	13
APPENDIX A	15
APPENDIX B.....	19
APPENDIX C.....	45
APPENDIX D	81

Introduction

The California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program was initiated on September 1, 2001 as part of the iWar on Methamphetamine,î with a primary focus on disrupting and dismantling clandestine methamphetamine labs and targeting the distribution and trafficking of methamphetamine in the State of California. The then-Governorís Office of Criminal Justice Planning received authorization to distribute \$54.5 million over a three-year period. The monies were designated for personnel, equipment costs, and operating expenses for these enforcement teams.

The Cal-MMET program was designed to work in conjunction with the federally-funded Central Valley High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (CV-HIDTA) program. Six of the nine counties (Sacramento, Kern, Fresno, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, and Tulare) in the CV-HIDTA were funded under the initial Cal-MMET program. A portion of the Sacramento County allocation was provided to Solano County, the North State Initiative (Shasta, Tehama, Butte, Siskiyou, Glenn, Lassen, Plumas, Modoc, and Trinity), and the CV-HIDTA for operating expenses. Over a five-year period, these counties received a total of \$73.5 million (FY 2001/2002- \$30 million to FY 2005/2006 - \$9.5 million).

There are five annual progress reports (FY 2001/2002 through FY 2005/2006) that document the spending, operations, activities, and accomplishments of these originally-funded methamphetamine enforcement teams. The Fifth Year Progress Report addresses the concern by many of the enforcement teams regarding the rising costs in personnel and operating expenses, coupled with the reduction in Cal-MMET funding over the five-year period. The project directors expressed concern that they would be unable to maintain current staffing levels without additional funds and would be hampered in their ability to adequately address the methamphetamine problem in their jurisdictions.

In 2006, the Governor's Office of Emergency Services (OES) was allocated \$29.4 million of State General Fund monies to support the Cal-MMET Program for a two-year limited term: \$19.9 million for expanding the program statewide and \$9.5 million for the original counties, which is the same amount they had received in the prior fiscal year. For the first year of the expanded program, the field received \$29.2 million because \$200,000 was set aside for an independent evaluation of the Cal-MMET program. The program received a \$29.4 million allocation for FY 2007/2008.

The 2006/2007 funds were provided to OES as part of the May 2006 Revise process. The development of the Request for Application and the subsequent receipt of required documentation from grant recipients took several months after the beginning of the 2006/2007 fiscal year. Thus, many of the newly-funded counties were unable to implement their projects until monies were received and they were able to hire personnel and purchase equipment, which in some cases was January 2007 or later. All projects were operational during FY 2007/2008.

The stated goals of the program are to: 1) target methamphetamine manufacturers and traffickers who produce or sell significant quantities of methamphetamine, including precursor trafficking; 2) disrupt and dismantle their clandestine labs and organizations (manufacturing and distribution); 3) incarcerate those responsible for methamphetamine manufacturing and trafficking; and 4) use state and/or federal laws to identify and seize assets related to the sale and distribution of methamphetamine through multi-jurisdictional methamphetamine enforcement teams.

This report will provide OES with an evaluation of the Cal-MMET program for FY 2007/2008, its second year of operation statewide. The following provides background information on the Cal-MMET program, an overview of spending by region, a description of program activities and accomplishments, and a summary of demonstrated outcomes.

Background

The Drug Enforcement Section in the Law Enforcement and Victim Services Division (LEVS) of OES is responsible for the administrative and fiscal oversight of the 2006/2008 Cal-MMET program. When the program was expanded statewide, OES, in conjunction with the California State Sheriff's Association, created five Cal-MMET regions and regional advisory boards composed of the sheriffs from each county within the region. These regional configurations were partially based on the four federally-designated statewide HIDTA program areas and expanded to include counties with shared boundaries to these HDTAs.

The five state-designated regions are as follows:

1. **Northern Region:** Butte, Colusa, Del Norte, El Dorado, Glenn, Humboldt, Lassen, Modoc, Nevada, Placer, Plumas, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Sutter, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo, and Yuba.
2. **Bay Area Region:** Alameda, Contra Costa, Lake, Marin, Mendocino, Monterey, Napa, San Benito, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, and Sonoma.
3. **Central Region:** Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Fresno, Inyo, Kern, Kings, Madera, Mariposa, Merced, Mono, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Solano, Stanislaus, Tulare, and Tuolumne.
4. **Southern Region:** Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, and Ventura.
5. **Southwest Border Region:** Imperial and San Diego.

The counties are contiguous within each region. In addition, the Northern Region is divided into four sub-regions: Coastal Initiative (Humboldt and Del Norte); Northern Initiative (Shasta, Tehama, Butte, Glenn, and Colusa); Northern California Horseshoe (Siskiyou, Trinity, Lassen, Modoc, and Plumas); and Southern Initiative (El Dorado, Placer, Sierra, Sutter, Yolo, and Yuba). The Bay Area Region is also divided into three sub-regions: Sub-region 1 (Alameda, Contra Costa, Santa Clara, and San Francisco); Sub-region 2 (Lake, Marin, Napa, Mendocino, and Sonoma); and Sub-region 3 (Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz, and San Mateo).

In discussions between OES and the California State Sheriff's Association, a funding distribution plan was agreed upon, based on proportional need as determined by the population and number of counties within each region. Each project submits a quarterly progress report that provides information on total grant award, funds expended, personnel, equipment, project activities and accomplishments, and a statistical reporting form that captures data by goals and objectives (e.g., total number of methamphetamine-related investigations, total amount of methamphetamine seized, total number of individuals referred for prosecution).

The next two sections provide an overview of spending by region, key program activities as described in the project applications, and project accomplishments as described in the narrative portion of the quarterly progress reports, followed by an analysis of project outcomes as reported on the statistical form.

Overview of Spending By Region

OES reported the following distribution of funds by Cal-MMET region for FY 2007/2008:

- **Northern Region:** \$4,000,000
- **Bay Area Region:** \$4,000,000
- **Central Region:** \$12,400,000
- **Southern Region:** \$7,500,000
- **Southwest Border Region:** \$1,500,000
- **Statewide Total:** \$29,400,000

There were 41 projects statewide and 55 of the 58 counties received funding. Kings, Lake, and Mariposa Counties declined to participate in the program. The budget data were obtained from the LEVS Grant Obligations/Payments database rather than the quarterly progress reports. The LEVS data were considered more reliable and accurate than the numbers provided in the progress reports because LEVS reports show actual invoices received and paid.

Table A1 (see Appendix A) presents a summary of the

total funds appropriated by region and county and the total amount of payments for which invoices were submitted prior to August 18, 2008. Statewide, Cal-MMET projects submitted invoices totaling \$31,109,921, which includes \$22,558,852 or 77 percent of the total funds appropriated for FY 2007/2008 and \$8,551,069 of the funds rolled over from FY 2006/2007. The spending in the regions ranged from a low of \$1,563,548 in the Southwest Border Region to \$4,036,823 in the Bay Area Region, \$4,205,230 in the Northern Region, \$9,593,346 in the Southern Region, and a high of \$11,710,974 in the Central Region.

The amount of rollover funds from FY 2006/2007 was due in part to the lateness of the appropriation during FY 2005/2006, the need for OES to draft a Request for Application and allow time for counties to submit grant requests, and the resulting start-up delays for the 33 newly-funded counties. Thirty-two projects did not expend all of their funds during FY 2007/2008, thus requiring OES to grant an extension for the use of the funds until September 30, 2008.

Cal-MMET Program Activities and Accomplishments

The budget data document that all the county projects were fully operational during the second year of funding. A majority of the projects requested payments for personnel, equipment, and operating expenses during all four quarters in FY 2007/2008. There are two additional sources of data used to describe project activities and accomplishments: project applications and quarterly progress reports.

Project Applications

Table B1 (see Appendix B) identifies each county project by lead agency, funded personnel, cooperating agencies, objectives, and key program activities. The data indicate that the key objectives for most projects are to: target methamphetamine manufacturers and traffickers; initiate investigations involving all levels of drug traffickers with a focus on those manufacturing, distributing, and trafficking significant quantities of methamphetamine; conduct domestic highway enforcement; disrupt and dismantle clandestine methamphetamine labs; arrest and prosecute offenders; establish a Drug Endangered Children (DEC) protocol; and remove children from areas where they are exposed to illicit drug environments.

A review of the county projects revealed that Cal-MMET funds were used to support methamphetamine enforcement within three organizational structures: assignment of personnel, equipment, and/or operating expenses to an existing drug enforcement task force; assignment of personnel, equipment, and/or operating

expenses to multiple existing task forces; and assignment of personnel, equipment, and/or operating expenses to a stand-alone enforcement team.

OES staff confirmed the placement of projects within each of the categories. Table B2 (see Appendix B) identifies each project by organizational structure. Of the 55 Cal-MMET sites, 31 or 56 percent provided resources to an existing drug task force, 11 or 20 percent provided resources to multiple existing task forces, and 13 or 24 percent were stand alone teams. The majority of the counties originally funded in 2001 are represented in the stand-alone enforcement team structure; whereas the majority of newly-funded projects are represented in the organizational structure where resources are provided to an existing drug enforcement task force.

The applications also identify key program activities to achieve project objectives that include, but are not limited to, the following: work collaboratively with other drug task forces; develop informants; initiate criminal investigations; conduct surveillance; conduct buy/bust programs; seek approval for wiretaps; use GPS and surveillance to find clandestine methamphetamine labs; carry out probation/parole searches; issue search warrants; and seize assets.

Quarterly Progress Reports - Project Narratives

As part of the quarterly progress reports, each grant recipient was required to write a narrative that describes project activities and significant accomplishments (see Appendix C for complete progress report). Table C1 (see Appendix C) provides a summary of the accomplishments by project. Some projects did not report activity in this section of the progress report but did report data in the statistical report section (to be discussed later). The data suggest that the projects are actively pursuing methamphetamine investigations that result in drug seizures, the closure of clandestine labs, arrests, weapons confiscation, cash seizures, and the removal of children from illicit drug environments.

The data document that while the projects are conducting methamphetamine-related investigations, they are encountering multiple types of drugs, in addition to methamphetamine and the precursor drugs, ephedrine and pseudoephedrine. These drugs include: tar heroin; heroin; cocaine; marijuana (plants and finished product); ecstasy pills; psilocybin mushrooms; designer drugs; and prescription narcotics. This finding supports the statements by state and federal drug agents that most methamphetamine distributors and traffickers are poly-drug distributors and traffickers.

The report narratives indicate that some of the Cal-MMET funded projects were dealing with what appear to be street-level drug transactions. A 2003 study of the federal Byrne-funded multi-jurisdictional task forces (MJTFs) conducted for the National Institute of Justice (NIJ) may provide some understanding for why the Cal-MMET funded projects, many of which are multi-jurisdictional, address all levels of drug activity (see NIJ Journal, Issue No. 250):

- Most MJTFs play a critical frontline drug enforcement role. As a result, they must often mix street-level enforcement with upper-level enforcement strategies.
- Rural or semi-rural jurisdictions often cover larger areas than more urban MJTFs and may have to address multiple problems concurrently, such as low-level trafficking in towns, highway enforcement, and crop eradication, along with longer-term investigations of criminal organizations.
- The varied demands of member agencies and political leaders sometimes complicate strategic planning.
- Most studies overlook the important benefits of MJTFs, such as better information sharing among local law enforcement agencies, better trained law enforcement agents, and stronger cases that result in more convictions, including street-level drug activities.

Project Outcomes

Grant recipients reported data on the statistical reporting form, which is another component of the quarterly progress report (see Appendix C). Per the instruction on the report form, the data reflect the activities of all personnel (grant funded and non-grant funded) assigned to the project. The data elements were designed to provide outcome measures for the major goals of the Cal-MMET program.

As reported above, there are three organizational structures for the Cal-MMET program. Given the instruction that recipients report the statistics that reflect the work of all personnel on the project, whether grant funded or non-grant funded, the data may not document the outcomes that result from just the Cal-MMET funding. In fact, the language suggests that it will be challenging to isolate the outcomes for only the Cal-MMET monies for the 42 counties where the funds were used to support personnel and/or resources on an existing drug enforcement team or multiple drug enforcement teams.

In an effort to increase the quality of the data submitted by individual projects, OES staff revised the progress report form for FY 2007/2008. Instructions and additional notes appear on the new form and project staff members were provided with clarification regarding the use of common terms. These modifications should increase the comparability of the responses by projects statewide and enhance the confidence in the data.

With these notes in mind, the statistical data provide a general indication of the impact that the Cal-MMET monies had on the methamphetamine problem in California. An overview of the outcomes is provided below by goal and objective. All of the tables are in Appendix D.

Goal 1: Disrupt and dismantle clandestine labs and organizations that manufacture and distribute methamphetamine, including precursor trafficking

Objective 1: Target methamphetamine manufacturers and traffickers who produce or sell significant quantities of methamphetamine, including precursor trafficking; disrupt and dismantle their clandestine lab

organizations

The data indicate that the Cal-MMET program is having a measurable impact on the availability of methamphetamine and other drugs in California. Statewide, there were 6,878 investigations initiated, of which almost two-thirds were methamphetamine-related (Table D1). There were also 4,770 (69 percent) investigations closed within the fiscal year. Of significance was the fact that over 70 percent of the methamphetamine lab investigations and 58 percent of the precursor and essential chemicals investigations were conducted in the Central Region, an area recognized as the primary site for clandestine methamphetamine labs.

A total of 1,327 search warrants were issued during this same time period with significant quantities of methamphetamine powder (97,529 grams) and methamphetamine éiceí (487,420 grams) seized statewide (Table D2). The street value of the methamphetamine powder was estimated at \$5,002,446 and the street value of the methamphetamine éiceí was estimated at \$37,655,408 for a total dollar seizure of \$42,657,854.

In terms of seizures of precursor and essential chemicals, the data indicate massive amounts of pseudoephedrine powder, pills, and meth solution were discovered in the Southern Region (Table D3). For example, three projects indicate seizing ephedrine powder (Mendocino, Los Angeles, and San Bernardino) with Los Angeles and San Bernardino, both in the Southern Region, seizing 27,215 grams and 17,580 grams, respectively. The 44,795 grams seized in the Southern Region represents almost 100 percent of the 44,815 grams seized statewide. In addition to the seizure of meth and precursor chemicals, projects statewide also reported seizing 1,412 weapons with 84 percent reported as firearms (Table D4).

The information contained in the narrative section of the progress reports (discussed earlier) indicate that Cal-MMET team members find other drugs during the course of their investigations. The data from the statistical section of the report reveal the seizure of large quantities of marijuana (over 16 million grams), marijuana plants (over 608,000), cocaine (over 1 million

grams), and heroin (over 94,000 grams) (Table D5).

The data on clandestine labs discovered confirm the prevalence of small production labs in the Northern, Central, and Southern Regions, with more large-scale methamphetamine manufacturing in the Central Region of California (Table D6). When looking at the total number of clandestine methamphetamine labs discovered where projects reported production capacity, the data indicate the discovery of 126 clandestine methamphetamine labs with 54 or 43 percent located in the Central Region and 37 or 29 percent in the Southern Region. Most of the 22 labs discovered that qualify as superlabs (i.e., produce 10 or more pounds of methamphetamine in a production cycle) were located in the Central Region. There were an additional four labs discovered where production capacity was not noted, resulting in a total of 130 clandestine methamphetamine labs discovered statewide.

The data on WSIN/EPIC/L.A. CLEAR cards submitted for the dismantling of clandestine methamphetamine labs are not consistent with the number of clandestine labs discovered. Statewide, there were 118 WSIN/EPIC/L.A. CLEAR cards submitted though there were 130 clandestine labs located (Tables D6 and D7). For three of the five regions, there were fewer cards submitted than labs located and seized.

A total of 254 dump sites were discovered with 254 referrals to the Department of Toxic Substances Control for dump site clean-up (Tables D8 and D9). The majority of the referrals (95 percent) were from the Central Region, suggesting that there may be more labs in that region that are manufacturing methamphetamine but have not been seized.

These findings also support the claim that the restrictions placed on precursor chemicals and the earlier efforts in this state to locate and remove clandestine methamphetamine labs have led to a major decline in the total number of labs statewide and major production moving to Mexico. However, the implementation of precursor chemical controls in Mexico to limit retail sales of ephedrine and pseudoephedrine to pharmacies and individuals purchasing precursor chemicals contributes to the number of labs operating in California. The ease with which Mexican drug trafficking organizations (DTOs)

manufacture methamphetamine in Mexico and transport it across our borders has been hampered by Mexico's new restrictions on the availability of the precursor chemicals. Consequently, some Mexican DTOs are returning to California in order to manufacture methamphetamine.

Objective 2: Arrest and incarcerate those responsible and use state and/or federal law to identify and seize assets related to the manufacture and distribution of methamphetamine

Law enforcement serves a critical role as gatekeepers of the criminal justice system. Their investigative skills and ability to build cases that will hold up in court are critical. The data show a strong connection between the number of investigations, arrests, and referrals for prosecution.

As noted above, projects report completing 4,770 investigations during FY 2007/2008. The data indicate that there were 5,136 drug-related arrests including 3,200 methamphetamine-related arrests (Table D10). The data also indicate that there were 4,311 referrals for prosecution including 2,801 methamphetamine-related cases and 1,510 other drug-related cases. The projects also reported the number of investigations resulting in assets seized and the dollar value of the assets confiscated. Over 800 (N=841) such investigations resulted in a total value of assets seized in excess of \$31 million (Table D11).

Goal 2: Focus on the safety and well being of children by coordinating activities to ensure that the child's needs are not overlooked; assist children who have been endangered by exposure to illicit drug environments and its associated hazardous lifestyle, including but not limited to, methamphetamine, its precursors, or toxic byproducts; and remove children from these toxic environments

Objective 1: Remove children who are found in the presence of a methamphetamine lab, and/or who are found in settings involving the use, possession, sale, or transportation of illicit drugs

One area of concern is the environmental dangers to children exposed to clandestine labs, methamphetamine dealers, and the toxic environments created by illegal drug enterprises. There were a total of 652 (76 percent) methamphetamine-related and 207

(24 percent) other drug-related investigations initiated statewide resulting in finding children living in or exposed to illicit drug environments (Table D12). The projects report completing 573 methamphetamine-related investigations and 159 other-drug related investigations.

Over one thousand children (N=1,038) were removed and referred during FY 2007/2008 (Table D12). The data do not allow us to determine whether the children were removed as a result of a methamphetamine-related or other drug-related investigation. Of the statewide total, 571 or 55 percent were referred by projects in the Southern Region.

Objective 2: Hold accountable those individuals who willfully create a situation and/or environment where the life or limb of a child may be endangered or his health injured

One of the last steps in the criminal justice process is to secure the prosecution and conviction of defendants who endanger the health and wellness of children.

Cal-MMET project staff referred 737 individuals to the

district attorney for violation of child endangerment laws (Table D13).

Goal 3: Prosecute individuals and cases referred by Cal-MMET project staff

Due to the challenges in obtaining reliable and valid data on cases filed, convictions and sentences, only the projects (N=10) that have a prosecution component (i.e., funded position(s) at the District Attorney's Office) were required to submit that data. Over three hundred (N=327) methamphetamine-related cases and 47 other drug-related cases were filed in State and Federal Courts (Table D14). Of the 374 total drug cases filed, 263 defendants were prosecuted and convicted.

Project personnel also reported filing 170 child endangerment cases (Table D15) and securing 134 convictions during FY 2007/2008. Forty-one percent of the defendants received jail time, with an average sentence of 187 days; another 17 percent were sentenced to prison, with an average sentence of 50 months.

Summary and Conclusion

The California Legislature recognized the need to address the methamphetamine problem in 2001, when it established the Cal-MMET program as part of the iWar on Methamphetamine. The primary focus at that time was on disrupting and dismantling clandestine methamphetamine labs and targeting the distribution and trafficking of methamphetamine in California. While initially funding six counties in the Central Valley HIDTA, with additional allocations made to Solano County and the Northern State Initiative, the legislature approved an expansion of the program statewide in 2006 with a focus on clandestine methamphetamine labs and the manufacturers, distributors, and traffickers who produce or sell significant quantities of methamphetamine. The 2006 expansion also directed the projects to arrest, prosecute, and incarcerate those individuals responsible for endangering the lives of children by exposing them to toxic environments.

The projects funded under the 2007/2008 Cal-MMET program have made a measurable impact on the production and availability of methamphetamine in California. The major impacts have been in the seizure of approximately \$42 million dollars worth of methamphetamine that would have been sold on the streets, 44,815 grams of ephedrine and 827,366 grams of pseudoephedrine that would have been used to manufacture methamphetamine, and the discovery of 130 clandestine methamphetamine labs, 22 of which were superlabs capable of producing large quantities of methamphetamine. The discovery of dump sites, especially in the Central Region, suggests that methamphetamine is still being produced in California, in spite of the restrictions on precursor chemicals and the pharmaceutical industry's reformulation of pseudoephedrine-type products.

With over 6,800 investigations initiated statewide, project staff made 5,136 arrests resulting in 4,311 prosecution referrals. While data on cases filed and convictions were not available for most of the projects, data for the ten counties with grant-funded positions at the District Attorney's Offices indicated that prosecutors filed 374 cases and

secured the convictions of 257 defendants. While assets generated by illegal activities generally take several years to be adjudicated, the projects reported a total dollar value of approximately \$31 million for assets seized statewide.

The Cal-MMET program is also responsible for rescuing over 1,000 children statewide from illegal drug sites and referring 737 individuals for prosecution for violation of child endangerment laws. Ultimately, convictions were secured for 134 individuals in the ten counties with a prosecution component.

The data also provide evidence supporting the contention of federal, state, and local drug enforcement personnel that major methamphetamine

distributors and traffickers are not focused solely on methamphetamine; rather, they transport and distribute many drugs, including marijuana and cocaine.

In summary, the evaluation suggests that the State's support of the Cal-MMET program was an important and significant investment within the context of a "War on Methamphetamine." The data provide ample evidence of the major impact that these enforcement teams have had on the manufacture, distribution, and trafficking of methamphetamine. Their presence in our communities ensures that those engaging in any aspect of the illegal drug enterprise are not free to operate in California and will be subject to surveillance, arrest, prosecution and conviction to the extent possible under the law.

APPENDIX A

TABLE A1: Funds Appropriated for Current Grant Year and Payments Made by Region and County for FY 2007/2008

Region and County	Funds Appropriated for 2007/2008 Grant Year	Payments Made From Amount Appropriated for 2007/2008				Payments Made From 2006/2007 Grant	Payment Total
		Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4		
NORTHERN							
Humboldt	421,052	91,385	104,449	126,233	0	26,162	348,229
Shasta	947,368	0	0	342,239	337,193	761,530	1,440,962
Siskiyou	1,052,635	196,228	175,924	325,474	247,411	0	945,037
Yolo	1,578,945	110,201	102,798	353,341	0	904,662	1,471,002
Total	4,000,000	397,814	383,171	1,147,287	584,604	1,692,354	4,205,230
BAY AREA							
Alameda	541,903	24,240	272,297	140,097	0	0	436,634
Contra Costa	413,541	57,645	55,446	75,908	112,570	147,110	448,679
Lake	0	0	0	0	0	42,804	42,804
Marin	185,000	0	92,501	0	0	0	92,501
Mendocino	201,284	0	0	36,278	64,900	20,684	121,862
Monterey	276,118	32,052	55,641	83,013	0	111,108	281,814
Napa	200,000	46,250	46,250	6,000	27,942	0	126,442
San Benito	260,906	53,097	106,194	68,097	19,705	129,214	376,307
San Francisco	315,564	0	84,185	142,105	32,442	86,671	345,403
San Mateo	348,662	84,484	52,883	81,969	129,326	0	348,662
Santa Clara	651,552	89,628	189,390	163,797	208,737	46,420	697,972
Santa Cruz	251,743	59,577	58,341	69,452	64,373	44,860	296,603
Sonoma	353,727	19,849	104,226	119,099	110,553	67,413	421,140
Total	4,000,000	466,822	1,117,354	985,815	770,548	696,284	4,036,823
CENTRAL							
Alpine	250,008	0	26,596	51,434	0	222,070	300,100
Amador	253,513	0	17,027	30,032	0	199,398	246,457
Calaveras	299,280	69,705	91,928	0	0	178,095	339,728
Fresno	1,797,311	372,459	508,969	442,208	473,675	0	1,797,311
Inyo	251,660	39,852	64,291	73,495	0	50,409	228,047
Kern	1,474,907	295,450	317,040	353,575	0	192,359	1,158,424
Madera	251,028	0	0	32,856	203,568	53,692	290,116
Merced	422,083	91,575	72,816	106,666	63,960	92,127	427,144
Mono	251,145	23,580	129,182	36,378	43,084	7,408	239,632
Sacramento	3,199,098	648,288	835,385	829,667	885,758	97,077	3,296,175
San Joaquin	1,282,236	618,562	0	317,598		0	936,160
Solano	443,571	81,810	124,314	143,767	93,680	34,635	478,206
Stanislaus	1,184,582	244,447	242,657	269,606	362,243	0	1,118,953
Tulare	784,153	147,641	219,630	159,812		0	527,083
Tuolumne	255,425	9,214	21,058	104,727	54,924	137,515	327,438
Total	12,400,000	2,642,583	2,670,893	2,951,821	2,180,892	1,264,785	11,710,974
SOUTHERN							
Los Angeles	1,664,800	0	45,896	403,322	642,517	897,968	1,989,703
Orange	510,000	0	0	131,175	0	265,696	396,871
Riverside	1,901,200	0	451,365	493,283	496,569	908,202	2,349,419
San Bernardino	2,374,000	408,683	333,685	770,017	758,600	1,133,911	3,404,896
San Luis Obispo	350,000	86,109	71,259	114,391	78,241	29,359	379,359
Santa Barbara	350,000	72,951	62,702	74,220	139,322	217,707	566,902
Ventura	350,000	3,356	16,981	121,970	102,627	261,262	506,196
Total	7,500,000	571,099	981,888	2,108,378	2,217,876	3,714,105	9,593,346
SOUTHWEST BORDER							
Imperial	750,000	1,583	0	251,168	21,921	694,818	969,490
San Diego	750,000	0	0	105,335	0	488,723	594,058
Total	1,500,000	1,583	0	356,503	21,921	1,183,541	1,563,548
STATEWIDE							
Total	29,400,000	4,079,901	5,153,306	7,549,804	5,775,841	8,551,069	31,109,921

APPENDIX B

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Alameda	California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team Program	Alameda County Sheriff's Office	1 Lieutenant / Project Manager (70%), 2 Deputy Investigators (100%)	Alameda County Sheriff, Alameda County D.A., Alameda County Probation, Hayward Police Dept., Oakland Police Dept., East Bay Regional Park District Police, Oakland Housing Authority Police Dept., Albany Police Dept.	Target meth manufacturers and traffickers who produce or sell significant quantities of meth, including precursor traffickers. Disrupt and dismantle clan labs. Identify, arrest, and convict offenders and seize assets. Remove children that are found in the presence of a meth lab or in settings involving the use, possession, sale, or transportation of illicit drugs.	Conduct investigations, issue search warrants, seize weapons, discover and clean-up lab dump sites. Focus on safety and well-being of children and remove from toxic environments.
Alpine	Alpine County Meth Joint Task Force	Alpine County Sheriff's Office	2 Deputy Sheriffs (100%)	CPS, Alpine Health and Human Services, D.A., Alpine County Probation Dept., DEC, Alpine County Sheriff's Dept.	Conduct meth-related investigations, write meth-related search warrants, and seize meth, pseudoephedrine, ephedrine, and weapons. Dismantle meth labs and make arrests. Identify and remove children exposed to meth-related violations, and refer those responsible for prosecution.	Gather information related to meth manufacturers and traffickers, including precursors. Disrupt and dismantle labs. Seize assets. Conduct investigations into meth-related violations and drug use which endangers children. Remove and ensure care for children and ensure prosecution of those responsible for meth-related violations.
Amador	California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team	Amador County Sheriff's Office	2 partially-funded (50%) officers (1 Jackson Police Officer and 1 Sutter Creek Police Officer); recruiting a secretarial candidate	DEA, BNE, Amador County Sheriff's Dept., Sutter Creek Police Dept., Jackson Police Dept., Amador County D.A., Amador County Probation	Reduce illegal narcotic activities. Initiate investigations involving all levels of drug traffickers with the goal of identifying and apprehending major drug traffickers. Conduct routine meetings regarding all known narcotic activity.	Dismantle meth labs within county. Make arrests, complete search warrants, collaborate on investigations, conduct probation/parole searches on drug convicted felons. Meet with heads of law enforcement agencies and law enforcement agents. Respond to calls for service by outside agencies and other law enforcement agencies.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Calaveras	Calaveras County Methamphetamine Enforcement Team	Calaveras County Sheriff's Department	1 Sheriff's Sergeant (70%), 2 Deputy Sheriffs (61.5%), 1 Angels Camp Police Officer (100%), 1 Social Worker (50%)	Calaveras County Sheriff's Dept., Angels Camp Police Dept., Calaveras County CPS, Calaveras County D.A., Calaveras County Probation Dept., DEA, County Health Services Director, Alpine County Sheriff's Office	Conduct meth-related investigations, write meth-related search warrants, seize meth, pseudoephedrine, ephedrine, and weapons. Dismantle meth labs. Make arrests. Identify and remove children exposed to meth-related violations, and refer to District Attorney for prosecution.	Gather information related to meth manufacturing and trafficking, including precursors. Disrupt and dismantle labs. Seize assets. Conduct investigations of meth-related violations and drug use that endangers children. Remove and ensure care for these children, while recommending prosecution for those responsible.
Contra Costa	California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team	Contra Costa County Sheriff's Department	1 Sergeant (OT only), 11 Deputies (OT only), 1 Deputy (100%)	BNE, Contra Costa County Sheriff's Dept., Contra Costa County D.A., CHP, Martinez Police Dept., Pleasant Hill Police Dept., San Ramon Police Dept., Walnut Creek Police Dept., Clayton Police Dept., Danville Police Dept., Contra Costa County Probation, Contra Costa County D.A., Contra Costa County CPS, DEC	Reduce supply of meth by dismantling meth trafficking networks. Track the number of arrests for individuals associated with the trafficking of meth. Execute high number of search warrants for manufacturing and distribution of meth. Increase number of informants and track productivity. Coordinate with other law enforcement agencies in Cal-MMET region. Track seized assets. Provide training.	Develop informants to gather intelligence and implement covert operations. Conduct surveillance and buy programs. Serve search warrants and arrest individuals. Conduct air reconnaissance. Work in partnership with federal, state, and local agencies to gather intelligence.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Fresno	CAL-MMET Program	Fresno County Sheriff's Department	1 Lieutenant (73%), 1 Sergeant (88%), 1 Detective (95%), 8 Detectives (94%), 1 Detective (50%), 2 Detectives (100%)	Fresno County Sheriff's Dept., Fresno County D.A., Fresno Police Dept., CPS, DEC	Disrupt the manufacturing of meth by dismantling a minimum of 20 lab sites and processing at least 20 lab dump sites for evidentiary purposes. Conduct a minimum of 70 controlled meth buys, which is intended to result in the overall seizure of at least 25 pounds of meth. Execute at least 70 search warrants related to suspected meth distributors and manufacturers and arrest at least 150 related suspects. Provide meth awareness, education, and training to service organizations, educational institutions, and military and law enforcement personnel. Directly impact the parents or guardians of DEC and bring upon the offenders the utmost prosecution while rescuing the child victims of these crimes.	Develop cases from informant supplied information and conduct covert undercover operations for purchases of meth. Identify individuals involved in the manufacturing process through the use of surveillance and develop cases from information provided by allied agencies. Process meth lab dump sites for evidence against associated suspects. Execute search warrants and conduct consensual contacts at locations associated with possible meth trafficking or manufacturing. Provide meth awareness education and training to service organizations and other law enforcement personnel. DEC and seek prosecution.
Humboldt	CAL-MMET Northern California Region-Coastal Initiative	Humboldt County Sheriff's Office	1 Deputy Sheriff II (100%), 1 Arcata Police Officer (50%), 1 Fortuna Police Officer (50%), 1 Del Norte County Sheriff Agent (100%), 1 Del Norte County District Attorney Agent (50%)	Inter-Agency Humboldt Task Force, BNE, D.A., Probation Dept., California State Parole Office, Drug TF, DEC and Child Welfare Services, Regional Advisory Board, Humboldt County Sheriff's Office, Child Assault Services Team, Del Norte County Sheriff's Dept., Fortuna Police Dept., Arcata Police Dept., Sutter Coast Hospital	Target meth manufacturers and distributors and disrupt their organizations. Dismantle clan labs. Arrest and incarcerate those responsible for illicit drug activities. Remove children found in meth labs or exposed to illicit drug environments. Arrest and prosecute individuals who intentionally allow the health and/or well-being of a child to be jeopardized.	Identify, investigate, and break apart meth trafficking organizations. Obtain search warrants and seize illegal drugs and weapons. Refer all perpetrators for prosecution and forfeit their assets. Dismantle clan labs and refer dumpsites for clean-up. Remove children endangered by or exposed to drug environments, including those found at clan labs. Coordinate activities to ensure endangered children will be assisted with physical and psychological problems arising from these toxic environments.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Imperial	Imperial County Meth Enforcement Team	Imperial County Sheriff's Office	1 Special Agent from Imperial Police Department (100%), 1 Office Technician from Imperial Sheriff Department, (100%), 1 CPS/ Social Worker III (100%), 1 Special Agent from El Centro Police Department (100%)	El Centro Police Dept., Calexico Police Dept., Brawley Police Dept., Holtville Police Dept., Imperial Police Dept., Imperial County Sheriff's Office, Imperial County Probation Dept., BNE, DEA, CHP, Imperial County D.A., U.S. Attorney's Office, ICE, CA Dept. of Corrections & Rehabilitation/State Parole, Regional Advisory Board, California Border Alliance Group, Imperial County/ San Diego Regional Narcotic Information Network, National Guard, Chula Vista Police Dept., Contract Employees, San Diego Sheriff's Dept., U.S. Dept. of Homeland Security, U.S. Treasury Dept./ Office of Foreign Asset Control.	Disrupt and dismantle labs and organizations that manufacture and distribute meth precursor chemicals. Conduct meth-related investigations, issue search warrants, make arrests. Seize weapons and narcotics, discover and dismantle clan labs and lab dump sites.	Investigate drug trafficking organizations (DTOs) using confidential sources, undercover officers, wire intercepts, surveillance, K-9, and other techniques. Work collaboratively with other agencies, including street narcotic units, to bring about maximum penalties. Staff will attend training.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Inyo	Inyo Narcotic Enforcement Team	Inyo County Sheriff's Department	1 District Attorney/ Investigator (100%), 1 Deputy/ Investigator (100%)	Inyo County D.A., Inyo County Sheriff's Department, Inyo County Probation Department, DOJ/ Precursor Sales, CHP, DEA, Bishop Police Dept., INS, CPS, CA Parole, Inyo County Drug Administrator, BNE, U.S. Citizen and Immigration Services	Reduce meth use. Reduce availability of meth and increase the price of meth in the targeted area. Locate, identify, and dismantle labs and target distributors and traffickers using highways in the county.	Continue contacting probationers and parolees known to use and deal meth. Work closely with DOJ/Precursor Sales. Keep current on trafficking trends to ensure local law enforcement has up to date information needed to seize product/cash. District Attorney's Office will network with agents and utilize vertical prosecution. Work with Sheriff's Office to notify U.S. Citizen and Immigration Services when suspected violators qualify for deportation. Interview arrestees and informants to monitor changes in availability and price of illegal drugs in targeted area.
Kern	Kern CAL-MMET	Kern County Sheriff's Department	1 Sheriff's Sergeant (100%), 1 Senior Deputy (100%), 1 Deputy Sheriff (100%), 1 Office Services Technician (100%), 1 Probation Officer (100%), 1 Deputy District Attorney (100%), 1 Criminalist (100%), 1 Bakersfield Police Sergeant (100%), 2 Bakersfield Police Officers (100%), 1 Shafter Police Officer (100%)	Kern County Sheriff's Dept., Kern County D.A., Kern County Probation Dept., Bakersfield Police Dept., Shafter Police Dept., CPS, Kern County Dept. of Human Services, DEC	Target meth manufacturers and traffickers, including precursor traffickers. Disrupt and dismantle clan lab organizations. Arrest and incarcerate violators and seize assets. Remove children found in the presence of a meth lab or in settings involving the use, possession, sale, or transportation of illicit drugs. Hold accountable those who endanger the health, life, or limb of a child.	Investigate all complaints of possible meth manufacturing and trafficking activity. Execute field searches, probation/parole searches, knock and talks, make arrests, seize assets, and work closely with CPS in the removal of drug endangered children from toxic environments. Work with local gang units to focus on meth users/dealers involved in violent gang activity and identity theft.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Lake	California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Program	Lake County Sheriff's Department	No personnel listed nor explanation provided	Sonoma, Napa, Mendocino, and Marin Counties, BNE, Sonoma County Sheriff's Dept., Lake County Sheriff's Dept., Regional Advisory Board	Disrupt distribution and production in project's sub-region (includes Sonoma, Napa, Marin, Mendocino, and Lake Counties). Target Highway 20 corridor.	Conduct probation searches involving probationers with prior meth convictions. Use K-9 to assist in searches and locating hidden narcotics.
Los Angeles	CAL-MMET Task Force	Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department	2 Sergeants (100%), 2 Deputy Sheriffs Bonus II (100%), 1 Operations Assistant II (100%), 1 Senior Typist Clerk (100%), 1 Culver City Police Officer (100%), 2 Glendale Police Officers (100%), 1 La Verne Police Officer (100%), 2 BNE Police Officers (100%), 1 Deputy District Attorney III (100%)	Departments and investigators from other law enforcement agencies within L.A. County boundaries, including Glendale Police Dept., Culver City Police Dept., La Verne Police Dept., Glendora Police Dept., BNE, DEA Southwest Border Task Force Initiative, ICE, CHP Highway Interdiction Unit, Los Angeles Intra-Agency Metropolitan Police Apprehension Crime Task Force, Los Angeles County Regional Criminal Information Clearinghouse, County of Los Angeles Dept. of Children and Family Services Multi-Agency Response Team, DEC, Los Angeles Sheriff's Dept. Aero Bureau	Identify and arrest meth manufacturers and traffickers in the target area. Conduct investigations and enforcement which may include buy/bust operations, informants, coordination of Title III and state wiretaps, reverse stings, surveillance, and preparation and execution of search and arrest warrants. Work towards ultimate goal of dismantling these organizations.	Determine the identity of meth traffickers, distributors, and manufacturers through surveillance and investigation. Locate and identify clan labs through surveillance and investigation. Arrest and incarcerate individuals involved in the illegal meth trade. Use state and federal law to seize assets related to meth manufacturing and distribution. Dismantle meth labs and DTOs.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Madera	Meth Enforcement 07-08	Sheriff-Coroner's Department	Deputy Sheriff Lieutenant (100%)	Madera County Sheriff's Dept., Madera Narcotics Enforcement Team, Madera County Gang Task Force, DEC, Fresno-Madera HIDTA, Madera Police Dept., Chowchilla Police Dept., CA Fish & Game, US Forest Service	Maintain and implement DEC protocol. Produce 5 public service announcements about meth lab dangers and how to report on existing tip line. Attend 9 HIDTA, MADNET Steering Committee, and Gang Task Force meetings. Deploy technical surveillance tools 10 times in pursuit of meth and drug distribution suspects. Coordinate shared use of acquired surveillance assets by CHP, CA Fish & Game, Madera Police Department, and Chowchilla Police Department.	Inter-agency coordination; inter-project intelligence sharing; law enforcement officer education; meth lab safety; DEC protocol; deployment of technical surveillance equipment.
Marin	Marin Methamphetamine Enforcement Team	Marin County Sheriff's Department	1 Detective (100%)	Sonoma County Sheriff's Dept., Marin County Sheriff's Dept., Napa County Sheriff's Dept., Lake County Sheriff's Dept., Mendocino County Sheriff's Dept., Deputy D.A., Marin County Probation Dept. Anti-Drug Abuse Steering Committee, Chiefs of Police for all local law enforcement agencies in Marin County	Provide investigative assistance to local law enforcement agencies. Coordinate drug enforcement investigations with other agencies. Reduce drug trafficking by targeting mid-level dealers and suppliers. Cross jurisdictional boundaries to assist county's 11 agencies in the detection, apprehension, and prosecution of highly mobile narcotic traffickers.	Gather, correlate, and evaluate intelligence information. Target and concentrate on mid-to upper-level drug dealing organizations and individuals. Timely referrals of intelligence information to participating and other law enforcement agencies. Selective investigation of a limited number of cases, as directed by the Unit Commander. Assistance on complex cases to participating agencies when requested.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Mendocino	Cal-MMET	Mendocino County Sheriff's Office	1 Deputy Sheriff II (100%)	BNE, Alcohol & Drug Advisory Board, Domestic Violence Council, the Policy Council on Children & Youth, Community Gang Coalition, Drug Court Management Team, CHP, State Parks headquarters, Regional Advisory Board, Sonoma County Sheriff's Dept., Marin County Sheriff's Dept., Napa County Sheriff's Dept., Lake County Sheriff's Dept.	Target meth manufacturers and traffickers who produce or sell significant quantities of meth, including precursor traffickers. Disrupt and dismantle clan labs. Make arrests. Use state and/or federal law to seize assets related to the manufacture and distribution of meth. Remove children who are found in the presence of labs or in settings involving the use, possession, sale, or transportation of illicit drugs.	Continually assess the scope, nature, and location of meth-related crimes. Target manufacturers and traffickers. Allocate investigative resources to improve enforcement effectiveness. Arrest and incarcerate offenders, seize assets, coordinate and collaborate intelligence and technological assistance with other local agencies. Coordinate with DEC, and procure services for elders, dependent adults and developmentally disabled.
Merced	Merced County CAL-MMET Program	Merced County Sheriff's Department	1 Sheriff Sergeant (98-100%), 2 Deputy Sheriffs (98-100%), Sheriff's Community Service Technician (1-5%)	Merced County Sheriff's Office, Merced County Probation Dept., Merced Police Dept., Livingston Police Dept., Los Banos Police Dept., Gustine Police Dept., Dos Palos Police Dept., Atwater Police Dept., CHP	To focus on the illegal manufacture and distribution of meth. Emphasize seizure and removal of clan labs. Initiate investigations. Identify, apprehend, prosecute, and sentence major distributors and manufacturers. Investigate individuals on probation for continued trafficking of illegal controlled substances. Hold accountable those individuals who create an illicit drug environment where children may be endangered or health injured.	Focus on the manufacture and distribution of meth with an emphasis on the seizure and removal of clan labs by increasing law enforcement activities.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Mono	California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team	Mono County Sheriff's Department	1 Detective (100%)	Mono County Sheriff's Dept., Mammoth Lakes Police Dept., Mono County Probation Dept., Mono County D.A., DEC, Drug Abuse Resistance Education, INS	Target meth manufacturers and traffickers who produce or sell significant quantities of meth, including precursor traffickers. Disrupt and dismantle clan labs. Arrest and incarcerate those responsible and seize assets related to meth manufacturing and distribution. Remove children found in the presence of meth labs or in settings involving the use, possession, sale, or transportation of illicit drugs. Hold accountable individuals who create situations/environments that endanger or injure the life, limb, or health of a child.	Provide education and training. Identify meth manufacturers in the county and submit information to the Western States Information Network. Apprehend meth manufacturers. Use informants, and obtain search warrants. Probation will conduct interviews, compile case histories, and seek maximum sentences. Assist District Attorney to ensure prison sentences for offenders. Educate merchants on the meth manufacturing process and identify which products they sell that can be used in the manufacturing process. Contact Immigration and Naturalization Services when offenders are suspected illegal aliens. Train personnel in DEC protocol.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Monterey	Monterey County Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (MCMMET)	Monterey County Sheriff's Office	1 Monterey County Sheriff's Detective (100%), 1 Seaside Police Officer / Detective (100%)	DEA, Gang Task Force, CHP, military installation, airport and university police dep'ts., ATF, BNE, ICE, Agricultural Task Force, FBI, Monterey County Joint Gang Task Force, Dept. of Social Services, DEC, Monterey County Probation Dept., Monterey County Sheriff's Dept., D.A., County of Monterey Marijuana Eradication Team, Narcotics Enforcement Unit of Monterey County, San Benito County Sheriff's Dept., San Mateo County Sheriff's Dept., Santa Cruz County Sheriff's Dept.	Disrupt supply of meth by focusing on mid- to high-level dealers and manufacturers, as well as DTOs importing precursor chemicals and meth. Coordinate intelligence and investigations with other task forces and agencies. Initiate vertical prosecutions seeking child endangerment charges and enhancements for manufacturing. Will work closely with Department of Social Services to identify cases where children may be exposed to hazardous environments so they may be removed and taken into protective custody and medically evaluated.	Focus exclusively on meth traffickers and manufacturers. Generate cases from the use of informants, tips, probation and parole searches, and from referrals from other agencies both inside and outside the county. Project personnel will serve as liaison between law enforcement and Department of Social Services and will be trained to conduct forensic interviews of children in support of child endangerment charges. All persons arrested will be referred to the District Attorney's Office for vertical prosecution, to include child endangerment charges when possible. Work with other partner task forces in the region.
Napa	Napa Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team	Napa County Sheriff's Department	Deputy Sheriff (100%)	CA DOJ, Napa Special Investigations Bureau, BNE, DEA, U.S. Attorney General, IRS, ICE, St. Helena Police Dept., Calistoga Police Dept., Napa County Probation Dept., Napa County D.A.'s Office, Napa County Sheriff's Dept., CHP, DEC	Meet or exceed the goals and objectives outlined in the grant. Special attention given to children found in the homes of meth manufacturers and distributors. Per county's DEC protocol, a response team will be called whenever children are found where meth is being packaged, distributed, or manufactured. The ultimate goal is to reduce the flow of meth into the county.	Use informants and interviews with those arrested for meth offenses to identify those involved in the distribution of meth. Conduct undercover buys and extensive surveillance including wire taps. Make arrests and/or obtain search warrants. Build a relationship with companies which supply certain precursor chemicals or other meth manufacturing supplies to help identify those involved in the drug manufacturing business.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Orange	California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team	Sheriff-Coroner's Department	2 Deputy Sheriffs II (100%), 1 Narcotic Dog (100%)	Orange County Pro-Active Methamphetamine Laboratory Investigative Team, Regional Narcotics Suppression Program, Los Angeles Joint Drug Intelligence Group, various local law enforcement agencies, La Habra Police Dept., Orange County Sheriff's Dept., D.A.'s Office, BNE, CPS, DEC, FBI, DEA, ICE, Intelligence Support Systems, Los Angeles County Regional Information Clearinghouse, INC	Target meth manufacturers and traffickers who produce or sell significant quantities of meth, including precursor traffickers. Disrupt and dismantle clan lab organizations. Arrest and incarcerate those responsible and seize their assets. Remove children who are found in the presence of a meth lab or in a setting involving the use, possession, sale, or transportation of illicit drugs. Hold accountable those individuals who willfully create a situation and/or environment where the life or health of a child may be endangered.	The highway interdiction team will supplement existing federal, state, and local meth task forces with drug interdiction and eradication of meth trafficking in the county. The team will investigate and follow-up on intelligence and viable leads from task forces to identify and arrest traffickers. Interdict and eradicate meth labs in the county.
Riverside	California Methamphetamine Enforcement Team	Riverside County Sheriff's Department	1 Sergeant (100%), 9 Investigators (100%), 1 Accounting Technician II (100%)	Riverside Market Task Force, Los Angeles County Regional Information Clearing House, Joint Drug Intelligence Group, D.A.'s Office, DEC, SJB Narcotics Unit, Inland Narcotics Clearing House	Investigate and disrupt criminal narcotic operations occurring in or having a nexus to the county. This may include the manufacture and trafficking of meth, money laundering, and financial crimes. Investigate illegal clan lab operations. Investigate DEC cases. Seize property assets resulting from narcotics sales and trafficking. Conduct joint operations with federal, state, and local agencies.	Engage in vehicle tracking and electronic surveillance; use confidential informants; execute search warrants; utilize scientific collection of physical evidence; informational database research; seizure of documentary evidence; conduct controlled buys and pill reversals.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Sacramento	California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team	Sacramento County Sheriff's Department	1 Captain (100%), 2 Sergeants (100%), 6 Detectives (100%), 1 Telecommunication Technician II (100%), 1 Probation Supervisor (100%), 1 Probation Officer (100%), 1 Citrus Heights Police Officer (100%), 1 Sacramento County Deputy District Attorney (70%)	OES, other participating CAL-MMET agencies, including Fresno Kern, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Tulare, Solano, Merced, Madera, Calaveras, Tuolumne, Amador, Inyo, Mono, Alpine and Sacramento County Sheriff's Departments	Disrupt and dismantle labs and organizations that manufacture and distribute meth, including precursor chemicals. Focus on the safety of children, which includes removing them from dangerous, toxic sites and coordinate activities to meet the child's needs.	Will focus on the manufacture and distribution of meth. Work in conjunction with the federally-funded Central Valley HIDTA. Coordinate resources among all agencies in the program.
San Benito	California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Program	San Benito County Sheriff's Office	2 Sheriff's Detectives (100%)	BNE, San Benito County Sheriff's Dept., San Benito County Probation Dept., Morgan Hill Police Dept., Gilroy Police Dept., Hollister Police Dept., CHP, Monterey County Sheriff's Dept., Santa Cruz County Sheriff's Dept., San Mateo County Sheriff's Dept.	Pursue, arrest, and prosecute meth manufacturers and traffickers who produce or sell any quantity of meth. Disrupt and dismantle clan lab organizations and incarcerate those responsible. Seize assets.	The project will take a region-wide approach to eliminating trafficking by breaking-up channels of distribution. Traffickers will find it more difficult to move between communities when all communities in the region are participating.
San Bernardino	California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team	San Bernardino County Sheriff's Department	2 Deputy District Attorneys (100%), 1 Criminalist IV (100%), 1 Sheriff's Sergeant (100%), 6 Sheriff's Detectives (100%), 6 Sheriff's Deputies (100%), 1 Sheriff's Service Specialist (100%)	Southern California Drug Task Force, DEA Task Force, San Bernardino Sheriff's Dept.	Disrupt and dismantle clan labs and organizations that manufacture and distribute meth, including precursor traffickers. Make arrests and incarcerate those responsible. Seize assets. Remove children found in the presence of a meth lab or in settings involving the use, possession, sale, or transportation of illicit drugs, and hold accountable those responsible.	Issue warrants, make arrests, and seize drugs, weapons, and assets from offenders. Analyze information. Provide reports and testimony. Personnel will dismantle drug labs. Prepare cases and identify children potentially at-risk from exposure to labs. CPS will be notified. Prosecute offenders for manufacturing, distribution, and transportation.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
San Diego	CAL-MMET Program	Sheriff's Department	1 Deputy Sheriff (OT only), 1 Sergeant (OT only), Administrative Analyst III (OT only), Accounting Technician (OT only)	Carlsbad Police Dept., Chula Vista Police Dept., Coronado Police Dept., El Cajon Police Dept., Escondido Police Dept., La Mesa Police Dept., National City Police Dept., Oceanside Police Dept., San Diego Police Dept., San Diego Sheriff's Dept., Harbor Police Dept., San Diego County Probation Dept., BNE, DEA, U.S. Postal Service, San Diego D.A.'s Office, U.S. Attorney's Office, U.S. ICE, AMTRAK, street narcotic units, Gang Task Force, DEC, CGAB, Asset Removal Group, Narcotics Information Network	Target, arrest, incarcerate, and seize the assets of those responsible for the manufacture, distribution, and trafficking of meth, including precursor traffickers. Disrupt and dismantle labs and organizations. Participate in training and conferences that provide intelligence to further the ability to impact meth DTOs. Remove children and notify DEC. Hold those accountable who willfully create a situation or environment that endangers or injures the health of a child.	Conduct investigations into meth DTOs. Investigative techniques will include confidential sources, undercover officers, wire intercepts, surveillance, and other means used to identify and infiltrate DTOs. Work collaboratively with local agencies and street narcotic units to obtain intelligence and leads. Work closely with the District Attorney's Office and the U.S. Attorney's Office to ensure suspects receive maximum penalties under law. Work with Asset Removal Group to ensure proper seizure of allowable assets under the law. Attend training and conferences to obtain and share information on clan lab operations and methods of DTOs.
San Francisco	CAL-MMET San Francisco 2008	San Francisco Police Department	2 Inspectors (100%) for 11 bi-weekly pay periods	Alameda, Contra Costa, and Santa Clara Counties, San Francisco Crystal Meth Task Force, DEC, San Francisco Police Dept.	Target meth manufacturers and traffickers who produce or sell significant quantities of meth, including precursor traffickers. Disrupt and dismantle clan lab organizations. Make arrests. Use state and/or federal law to seize assets obtained from the manufacture and distribution of meth.	Disrupt the channels used to bring meth into the county and San Francisco Airport. Identifying mid-level meth dealers. Identify and dismantle meth labs. Focus on the well-being of children and increase the number of child endangerment referrals for prosecution. Increase county's health prevention efforts that relate to meth. Increase efficiency to existing task force.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
San Joaquin	CAL-MMET	San Joaquin County Sheriff's Department	1 Captain (100%), 3 Deputy Sheriffs (100%), Manteca Police Department Sergeant (100%)	San Joaquin County Sheriff's Dept., BNE, Stockton Police Dept., Manteca Police Dept., San Joaquin D.A.'s Office, DEA, FBI, San Joaquin County Probation Dept., Lodi Police Dept., Tracy Police Dept., Escalon Police Dept., Ripon Police Dept., CHP, DEC	Reduce the illegal narcotic activities of offenders through law enforcement, prosecution, and probation efforts. Initiate investigations of all levels of drug traffickers with the purpose of identifying, apprehending, and securing the imprisonment of offenders. Conduct meetings regarding known narcotic activity. Assist all San Joaquin County law enforcement agencies and state and federal agencies on drug-related requests for service.	Dismantle meth labs; arrest violators; complete search warrants; collaborate on investigations to build strong cases; conduct probation/parole searches on drug convicted felons; participate in monthly meetings with heads of law enforcement agencies; meet weekly with law enforcement agents; respond to calls for assistance.
San Luis Obispo	California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team	San Luis Obispo County Sheriff's Department	1 Senior Deputy Sheriff (100%), 2 Deputy Sheriffs (100%)	Local law enforcement, Drug and Alcohol Services, Dept. of Social Services, Probation Dept., school districts, Regional Advisory Board, D.A., DEC, Child Welfare Services	Target meth manufacturers and traffickers. Disrupt and dismantle clan lab organizations. Arrest and incarcerate those responsible. Identify and seize assets related to the criminal enterprise. Remove children found in the presence of a meth lab or in settings involving the use, possession, sale, or transportation of illicit drugs.	Conduct investigations into meth clan labs. Use informants, both civilian and in law enforcement, to disable clan labs and disrupt entire criminal enterprises. Disrupt the major flow of meth into the community and arrest high-level criminals. Maintain information regarding subjects involved in narcotic-related criminal enterprises. Follow DEC protocol.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
San Mateo	SMCO NTF/CAL-MMET 07/08	County of San Mateo Sheriff's Office	1 Deputy Sheriff (51%), 6 Deputies/ Agents and 3 Commanders (OT only), 1 Police Officer/Detective (38%), 5 Officers/ Detective and 1 Supervisor (OT only)	San Benito, Santa Cruz, and Monterey Counties, BNE, FBI, DEA, Regional Advisory Board, San Mateo D.A., San Bruno Police Dept., Atherton Police Dept., South San Francisco Police Dept., Daly City Police Dept., Redwood City Police Dept., San Mateo County Probation Dept., DEC	Interdict flow of meth with a focus on low-, mid-, and high-level dealers and manufacturers. Disrupt major DTOs responsible for importing precursors and meth. Collaborate with all law enforcement agencies including regional, state, and federal agencies. Coordinate information and investigations resulting in arrests and prosecution. Identify children and follow DEC protocol.	Project personnel will be integrated into the existing countywide narcotics task force. Focus on meth traffickers and manufacturers. Generate cases from the use of informants, tips, probation and parole searches, and referrals from other agencies. Make arrests and seize targeted drugs, clan labs, assets, and weapons. All persons arrested will be referred to the District Attorney's Office for prosecution, including DEC charges when applicable.
Santa Barbara	CAL-MMET Program	Sheriff's Department	2 Detectives (100%)	No cooperating agencies listed	Target meth manufacturers and traffickers who produce or sell significant quantities of meth, including precursor traffickers. Disrupt and dismantle clan labs and organizations. Incarcerate those responsible and seize assets. Follow DEC protocol..	Focus efforts primarily on suspects engaged in manufacturing and trafficking significant quantities of meth.
Santa Clara	California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Program	Santa Clara County Office of the Sheriff	1 Sergeant (100%), 3 Detectives (100%)	Santa Clara County Sheriff's Dept., Alameda County Sheriff's Dept., Contra Costa County Sheriff's Dept., San Francisco County Sheriff's Office, BNE, DEA, D.A.	Target and arrest meth manufacturers and traffickers who produce or sell significant quantities of meth, including precursor traffickers. Dismantle clan labs. Follow DEC protocol.	Work collaboratively with regional, state, and federal agencies to target meth manufacturers and traffickers. Disrupt and dismantle labs. Make arrests and incarcerate individuals responsible for illicit activities. Identify and seize assets related to the manufacturing and distribution of meth. Share intelligence with other agencies. Follow DEC protocol.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Santa Cruz	CAL-MMET Enforcement Teams Program	Santa Cruz County Sheriff's Office	2 Deputy Sheriffs / Detectives (100%)	BNE, Office of the Attorney General, Santa Cruz County Sheriff's Office, City of Watsonville Police Dept., City of Santa Cruz Police Dept., Scotts Valley Police Dept., Capitola Police Dept., D.A., UC Santa Cruz Police Dept., California State Parks, Regional Advisory Board, San Benito County Sheriff's Dept., San Mateo County Sheriff's Dept., Monterey County Sheriff's Dept., Santa Cruz County Sheriff's Dept.	Reduce the supply of meth by dismantling mid- to upper-level meth manufacturing and trafficking organizations and seizing their assets. Conduct financial investigations to seize assets that motivate and facilitate the offenders' abilities to do business. Detectives will use informants and citizens to locate areas of meth activity. Personnel will attend training sessions to stay informed of current drug trends.	Target and prosecute mid- to upper-level traffickers of meth and other dangerous drugs. Focus on the apprehension of drug dealers as well as those in violation of child endangerment laws.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Shasta (AKA North State Initiative – 5 county region)	CAL-MMET	Shasta County Sheriff's Office	1 Shasta County Sheriff's Lieutenant/Executive Director (75%), 1 Shasta County Sheriff Deputy/Agent (100%), 1 Shasta County Sheriff's Account Auditor (38.75%), 1 Shasta County Administrative Secretary (100%), 1 Redding Police Department Commander (0%), 1 Redding Police Department Officer / Agent (100%), 1 Tehama County Sheriff's Deputy/Agent (100%), 1 Glenn County Sheriff's Deputy/Agent (50%), 1 Colusa County Sheriff's Sergeant/Agent (15%), CHP Officer/Agent (0%), 1 Butte County Sheriff's Deputy/Agent/Pilot (100%), 1 Intelligence Analyst (100%)	City of Redding Police Dept., BNE, CHP, DEA, ATF, U.S. DOJ, Shasta County Sheriff's Dept., Tehama County Sheriff's Office, Butte County Sheriff's Office, Glenn County Sheriff's Office, Colusa County Sheriff's Office, Shasta County Sheriff's Office, Marijuana Eradication Team, D.A., U.S. Attorney General, Anderson Police Dept., Shasta County Probation Dept., CA Dept. of Corrections & Rehabilitation	Disrupt, dismantle, arrest, and seize all assets of parties associated with major DTOs. Assist all local law enforcement task forces with manpower, intelligence/information, and equipment. Enhance and provide DEC training.	Disrupt and/or dismantle DTOs. Develop and disseminate narcotic intelligence to all allied agencies. Investigate, arrest, and prosecute members of DTOs. Aggressive enforcement actions will address illegal drug manufacturing. Provide community outreach, including drug education. DEC will be used with all five counties. Conduct surveillance to identify operations and members of DTOs.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Solano	Solano County CAL-MMET	Solano County Sheriff's Office	1 Sheriff's Sergeant (100%), 3 Deputy Sheriffs (100%)	D.A., Family Violence Investigation Team	Identify and arrest meth dealers. Locate and seize property used to facilitate the production of meth. Reduce the amount of meth on the streets. Decrease meth-related crimes. Decrease the number of drug endangered children. Maintain information on the number of drug-related arrests, dollar value of property seized, number of labs dismantled quantity of illegal drugs seized, number of weapons seized, number of probation terms revoked, and number of DEC rescued.	Investigate and arrest suspects who are producing or distributing meth. Locate labs and shut them down. Seize property used to produce or distribute meth.
Sonoma	California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team	Sonoma County Sheriff's Department	1 Detective (100%), 1 Detective/K-9 Handler (100%), 1 Detective/K-9 Handler pay only), 1 Administrative Student Intern (100%)	Sonoma County D.A.'s Office, Sonoma County Probation Dept., Alcohol and Drug Services Dept., One local police agency, DEA, Regional Advisory Board, Sonoma County Sheriff's Dept., Marin County Sheriff's Dept., Napa County Sheriff's Dept., Lake County Sheriff's Dept., Mendocino County Sheriff's Dept., Sonoma County Law Enforcement Chief's Association, Family, Youth and Children's Division of County of Sonoma Human Services Dept., Public Health Division of County of Sonoma Dept. of Health Services	Target meth manufacturers and traffickers who produce or sell significant quantities of meth, including precursor traffickers. Disrupt and dismantle clan lab organizations. Arrest and incarcerate those responsible and seize their assets. Remove children found in the presence of a meth lab or in settings involving the use, possession, sale, or transportation of illicit drugs. Hold accountable those individuals who willfully create a situation/ environment where the life or limb of a child may be endangered or health injured.	Conduct meth-related investigations and undercover buys. Collaborate with partner criminal justice agencies to conduct inter-county investigations; share intelligence with allied law enforcement agencies; pursue meth-related search warrants; seize meth and prosecute suppliers; dismantle clan labs; arrest meth-related offenders; conduct probation searches; refer drug-related cases for prosecution; remove children from illicit drug environments. Conduct drug investigations to locate children living in or exposed to illicit drug environments. Refer children removed from homes for placement and refer individuals for prosecution for child endangerment.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Stanislaus	California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team	Stanislaus County Sheriff's Department	1 Stanislaus Sheriff's Lieutenant (100%), 1 Stanislaus Sheriff's Detective/Pilot (100%), 3 Stanislaus Detectives (100%), Stanislaus County District Attorney Investigator (100%), 1 Modesto Police Officer (100%), 1 Stanislaus County Sheriff's Account Clerk (100%), 1 Stanislaus County Sheriff's Community Service Officer (73%), 1 Stanislaus County Sheriff's Legal Clerk (100%)	DEA, FBI, HIDTA Task Force, Stanislaus County D.A., Stanislaus County Probation Dept., BNE, IRS, ICE	Target meth manufacturers and traffickers who produce or sell significant quantities of methamphetamine, including precursor traffickers. Disrupt and dismantle clan lab organizations. Arrest and incarcerate those responsible and seize their assets. Remove children found in the presence of a meth lab or in settings involving the use, possession, sale, or transportation of illicit drugs. Hold those responsible who willfully create a situation/environment that endangers or injures the life, limb, or health of a child.	Informant and information development; conduct surveillance and probation searches; make arrests; prosecute and seek probation revocations of offenders; seize drugs and assets; wiretaps; clan lab detection and dismantling; follow DEC protocols.
Tulare	Tulare County Clandestine Lab Enforcement Unit (CLEU)	Tulare County Sheriff's Department	Sheriff's Lieutenant (25%), Sheriff's Sergeant (50%), 5 Sheriff's Detectives (100%), Sheriff's Investigator Aide (100%), Deputy District Attorney (50%)	Inter-Agency Narcotics Enforcement Team, District Attorney's Office	Conduct 30 meth investigations; seize 5,000 grams of meth; Seize 500 grams of pseudoephedrine; seize 1,000 grams of ephedrine; discover 5 clan labs; make 25 meth-related arrests; seize \$10,000 in cash/assets; obtain 25 meth-related search warrants; obtain 20 convictions; conduct 5 investigations due to children at scene; remove 10 children from drug-related environments; obtain 7 convictions for child endangerment.	Write search warrants; work undercover; conduct surveillance; collect evidence; testify in court; interview suspects; develop informants; educate the public. Prepare quarterly and annual reports for OES and Steering Committee members. Each report will include the progress made during the time period covered in the report.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Tuolumne	Tuolumne Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (T-MMET)	Tuolumne County Sheriff's Department	1 TNG/SGT/CMDR (30%), 2 TNT Detectives (60%), 2 TNT Detectives (40%), 1 Assistant District Attorney (10%), 1 District Attorney Investigator (10%)	Dept. of Toxic Substances, Tuolumne County Environmental Health Dept., HIDTA Task Force, Santa Clara County Sheriff's Dept., Tuolumne County Sheriff's Dept., Tuolumne County Animal Control, U.S. Attorney General, Tuolumne County General Hospital, Probation Dept., Sonora Police Dept., D.A., Child Welfare Service, DEA, Boat Patrol, SWAT, Angels Camp Police Dept., Oakdale Police Dept., CHP, CHP Helicopter Support, Jail, Parole, Corrections Standards Authority, US Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management, ATF, BNE, Calaveras Narcotics Unit, Stanislaus County Drug Enforcement Agency, Regional Advisory Board		Target meth manufacturers and traffickers who produce or sell significant quantities of meth, including precursor traffickers. Disrupt and dismantle clan lab organizations. Arrest and incarcerate those responsible and seize assets related to the manufacturing and distribution of meth. Remove children found in the presence of meth or settings involving the use, sale, possession, or transportation of illicit drugs. Prosecute those who endanger the life, limb, or health of the child by exposing them to these environments.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Ventura	Ventura County Sheriff's Methamphetamine Suppression Unit	Ventura County Sheriff's Department	2 Senior Deputy Sheriffs (100%)	D.A., Ventura County Probation Dept., Ventura County Sheriff's Dept., Oxnard Police Dept., Ventura Police Dept., Simi Valley Police Dept., state and federal law enforcement agencies, Santa Paula Police Dept., Port Hueneme Police Dept., CHP, Ventura County Fire Dept., all local and city fire depts., Ventura County Public Health Service, Ventura County Human Service Agency	Work as a team to identify targets, establish goals, develop strategies, and execute plans to obtain successful prosecutions of targeted DTOs. Seize illegal drugs and assets related to drug sales or other drug-related activities. All investigators and prosecutors will collaborate with other city, county, state and federal agencies to ensure the successful prosecution and conviction of offenders.	Target DTOs that live, operate, and/or conduct their illegal narcotic activities in the county, or whose illegal narcotic activities negatively impact the quality of life that county residents have come to expect. Use proper law enforcement tactics and prosecutorial efforts in targeting DTOs.

TABLE B1. Characteristics of the California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (Cal-MMET) Program 2007/2008

County	Program Title	Lead Agency	Funded Personnel	Cooperating Agency	Objectives of Cal-MMET	Key Program Activities
Yolo	CAL-MMET	Yolo County Sheriff's Department	1 Butte County Sergeant (100%), 1 El Dorado County Deputy Sheriff I/II (51%), 1 El Dorado County Administrative Assistant (75%; not yet filled), 2 Grass Valley Police Officers (75%), 1 Nevada County Legal Assistant (33.39%), 1 Nevada County Deputy District Attorney (11.02%), 1 Nevada County Legal Office Assistant (44.75%), 2 Placer County Sheriff's Deputies (60%), 1 Sierra County Deputy Investigator (80%), 1 Yolo County Administrative Assistant (63%)	Butte County Sheriff's Dept., El Dorado County Sheriff's Dept., Placer County Sheriff's Dept., Sierra County Sheriff's Dept., Yuba County Sheriff's Dept., Sutter County Sheriff's Dept., Nevada County Sheriff's Dept., Grass Valley Police Dept., Nevada City Police Dept., Yolo County Sheriff's Dept., BNE, DEA, Regional Advisory Board, El Dorado County CPS, Yolo County D.A.	Reduce illegal narcotic activities of targeted offenders through law enforcement, prosecution, and probation. Investigate and arrest all levels of DTO associates and meth/narcotic violators and send them to prison. Conduct meetings regarding all known narcotic activity. Assist regional, state, and federal law enforcement agencies on specific drug-related requests for service.	Dismantle meth labs within the region. Arrest violators involved in sales and distribution of meth, heroin, cocaine, and marijuana. Complete search warrants for controlled substances. Collaborate on investigations to build strong cases. Conduct probation/parole searches on drug convicted felons. Participate in monthly meetings with heads of law enforcement agencies. Meet weekly with law enforcement agents and respond to calls for service by outside agencies and other law enforcement agencies.

TABLE B2. Cal-MMET Organizational Structures

Category 1	Category 2	Category 3
<i>Cal-MMET funded personnel work on or resources aid one existing narcotics enforcement team or investigative unit</i>	<i>Cal-MMET funded personnel work on or resources aid multiple existing narcotics enforcement teams</i>	<i>Cal-MMET funded personnel work on or resources aid their own ‘stand alone’ team</i>

NOTE: Regional designations are in parentheses (Bay Area (B); Central (C); Northern (N); Southern (S); Southwest Border (SB)). Fifty-five counties receive funding; 12 in the Bay Area Region (B), 15 in the Central Region (C), 19 in the Northern Region (N), 7 in the Southern Region (S), and 2 in the Southwest Border Region (SB). Project applications, progress reports, and organizational charts were used to identify appropriate categories. Documentation for some counties was incomplete (e.g., no progress reports or organizational chart) so decisions may be based upon the language from only one source.

APPENDIX C

TABLE C1. Significant Cal-MMET Project Activities and Accomplishments

County	<i>Significant Activities and Accomplishments</i>
Alameda	Assisted several law enforcement agencies with narcotic investigations, including local and federal departments. Conducted numerous investigations leading to several arrests and the seizure of meth and cash.
Alpine	Conducted several investigations into drug trafficking, drug trafficking organizations (DTOs), and manufacturing. Assisted local and state agencies in the investigation and search warrant service of a methamphetamine “super” lab. Investigations led to several arrests, probation revocations, and the seizure of meth, meth precursors, other narcotics, weapons, and cash.
Amador	Conducted numerous investigations and provided assistance to other law enforcement agencies’ investigations into drug trafficking, distribution, manufacturing, and illegal possession of controlled substances. Investigations resulted in many arrests, the dismantling of meth labs and marijuana cultivation and THC extraction operations, and the seizure of meth, meth precursors, other controlled substances, weapons, and cash.
Butte	Assisted local and regional task forces in dismantling meth labs, discovering lab dumps sites, making arrests, seizing illegal narcotics and cash, and removing several drug endangered children (DEC).
Calaveras	Investigations into meth trafficking, selling, manufacturing, and using resulted in arrests, probation revocations, and parole holds. Dismantled a meth “super” lab and discovered marijuana cultivation and THC extraction sites. Seized meth, other illegal narcotics and controlled substances, firearms, explosive devices, and cash profits from illegal drug sales. Several investigations also resulted in the removal of children living in dangerous environments.
Colusa	Activity reported in Shasta’s fiduciary narrative
Contra Costa	Successfully identified and apprehended meth traffickers and manufacturers. Seized multiple pounds of meth, cocaine, and cash. Made numerous arrests, some which have resulted in the filing of federal charges against the suspects and some defendants receiving state prison sentences.
El Dorado	Initiated meth trafficking and distribution investigations. Assisted federal agents in wiretap investigations that led to the arrest of several DTO members. Seized drugs, firearms, and cash.
Fresno	Initiated and assisted local and state agencies with meth-related investigations. Made numerous arrests, which led to the filing of charges and subsequent conviction of suspects. Dismantled several meth “super” labs, seized precursors and essential chemicals, and discovered dump sites. Successfully removed children from dangerous environments and prosecuted and convicted suspects for child endangerment.
Glenn	Activity reported in Shasta’s fiduciary narrative
Humboldt	Assisted local and state departments with investigations. Found several marijuana cultivation operations. Seized meth, heroin, cocaine, pharmaceuticals, hashish, psilocybin mushrooms, firearms, and cash. Made arrests for Health and Safety and Penal Code violations, including child endangerment.
Imperial	Established the county’s first DEC program and a toll-free phone number and website for the reporting of drug sales and suspected labs. Initiated investigations into meth distributors and conducted several undercover buys from known suspects. Made numerous arrests and seized meth and other illegal drugs.
Inyo	Conducted investigations that led to the arrest and prosecution of suspects and the seizure of meth, cocaine, and cash.

TABLE C1. Significant Cal-MMET Project Activities and Accomplishments

<i>County</i>	<i>Significant Activities and Accomplishments</i>
Kern	Investigations resulted in the service of search warrants and numerous arrests for Health and Safety Code violations. Seized a "mobile lab" manufacturing meth and discovered a large outdoor marijuana grow site. Seized illegal drugs, including meth, cocaine, heroin, and marijuana, firearms, and cash. Removed several children as the result of drug-related investigations and placed them in protective custody.
Lassen	Assisted allied agencies and other law enforcement in drug-related investigations. Investigations resulted in the apprehension of suspected drug traffickers and the seizure of various illegal drugs, a honey oil lab, firearms, and cash.
Los Angeles	Conducted numerous investigations, often using techniques such as surveillance, confidential informants and wiretaps, into the trafficking, distribution, and manufacturing of meth and other illegal narcotics. Assisted an international investigation that resulted in the finding of a meth lab in a local jurisdiction. Made arrests and referrals to the local District Attorney for prosecution. Seized multiple pounds of meth, marijuana, and cocaine, in addition to meth precursors, pharmaceuticals, PCP, ecstasy, firearms, and cash.
Madera	Purchased technical equipment necessary to conduct drug-related investigations at the local level. Developed relationships and began collaborating with local law enforcement, state agencies, and federal investigators. Assisted local task forces with meth-related investigations that resulted in the seizure of meth, firearms, and cash. Funded several newspaper ads warning against the dangers of meth use.
Marin	Investigated meth traffickers, distributors, and manufacturers through undercover operations and the use of confidential informants, search warrants, and probation and parole searches, which led to arrests, referrals for prosecution, and the seizure of meth, firearms, and cash.
Mendocino	Investigations resulted in the seizure of multiple pounds of meth and marijuana, in addition to the seizure of firearms.
Merced	Uncovered lab dump sites and investigated suspected meth distributors and manufacturers, which led to the discovery of meth labs, several arrests, and the seizure of meth precursors, finished meth product, weapons, stolen property, and cash.
Modoc	Assisted local narcotic task forces and the state narcotic enforcement department in the investigation of meth traffickers and suppliers, which led to search warrants and arrests.
Mono	Conducted investigations related to meth, cocaine, hashish, steroids, psilocybin mushrooms, and prescription fraud. Made arrests and seized meth. Discovered large marijuana growing operations, in addition to seizing multiple pounds of processed marijuana buds. Served several search warrants and conducted several probation and/or parole searches that resulted in arrests for new crimes or violations of conditions.
Monterey	Conducted investigations, served search warrants, and used confidential informants. Arrested meth traffickers and members of DTOs. Seized meth, cocaine, counterfeit money, firearms, and cash.
Napa	Worked in conjunction with local law enforcement and state and federal narcotic agents in meth trafficking and distribution investigations. Seized meth, firearms, and cash. Discovered children living in a home with a meth conversion lab and placed them with Child Protective Services.
Nevada	Assisted local and federal agencies. Served search warrants and seized meth, cocaine, psilocybin mushrooms, marijuana, pharmaceuticals, and firearms. Arrested several mid- and upper-level drug dealers.

TABLE C1. Significant Cal-MMET Project Activities and Accomplishments

County	<i>Significant Activities and Accomplishments</i>
Orange	Worked joint operations with local narcotic investigators and domestic highway enforcement to make numerous arrests and seize significant amounts of meth, cocaine, marijuana, heroin, and ecstasy, as well as, firearms and a large sum of cash.
Placer	Initiated and assisted local, state, and federal narcotics investigations that led to arrests and the seizure of meth, cocaine, marijuana, pharmaceuticals, drug assets, explosives, and firearms.
Plumas	Conducted investigations using surveillance, controlled buys, and informants. Partnered with local and federal investigators. Made arrests that led to the prosecution and conviction of meth manufacturers, traffickers, and distributors, in addition to the seizure of drugs and handguns.
Riverside	Provided assistance to other Cal-MMET teams, HIDTA, and Immigration and Customs Enforcement on several investigations involving suspected members of DTOs. Discovered clan labs and a precursor extraction site. Dismantled a DTO and made arrests. Seized large quantities of meth, heroin, and marijuana, in addition to firearms. Placed numerous children with Child Protective Services as the result of meth- and other drug-related investigations.
Sacramento	Conducted meth-related investigations. Assisted HIDTA with wiretap investigations. Discovered clan meth labs and seized precursors and chemicals. Made multiple meth-related arrests and seized large quantities of meth, cocaine, and marijuana, as well as dangerous weapons, vehicles, and cash. Several children were removed from homes and placed with Child Protective Services as the result of investigations.
San Benito	Conducted several investigations with the assistance of local, state, and federal law enforcement. Served state and federal arrest warrants. Discovered indoor marijuana growing operations and clan meth labs. Seized meth, cocaine, marijuana plants, processed marijuana, and Ketamine, in addition to firearms and cash.
San Bernardino	Identified and dismantled large DTOs, wrote wiretaps, discovered clan meth labs, conducted joint operations with other agencies, participated in international controlled deliveries of narcotics, confiscated numerous firearms, and seized a large quantity of various drugs with a total street value in the millions of dollars.
San Diego	Seized large amounts of meth. Several investigations led to federal or state wiretaps. Seized firearms and drug assets including vehicles, jewelry, and cash.
San Francisco	Conducted investigations that heavily relied on informants and controlled buys. Participated in a federal investigation resulting in the uncovering of an international heroin trafficking operation. Assisted several wiretap investigations. Seized meth, cocaine, and firearms. Arrests included suspected drug traffickers and dealers.
San Joaquin	Worked closely with local, state, and federal agencies in meth-related investigations, including those involving wiretaps. Made numerous arrests with some suspects facing federal prosecution. Processed meth labs, including labs with large production capacities. Seized several marijuana growing operations, controlled substances, including meth, firearms, and assets.
San Luis Obispo	Assisted CA DOJ/BNE with wiretap investigation. Conducted meth lab and dump site investigations. Made numerous arrests, including several related to drug trafficking. Seized meth, cocaine, fentanyl suckers, Oxycontin, and Soma pills. Removed several children from illicit drug environments.
San Mateo	Worked with local, state, and federal agents on several investigations. Served multiple search warrants. Discovered illegal marijuana growing operations that resulted in the seizure of marijuana plants and processed bud. Seized various amounts of narcotics, including meth, heroin, mushrooms, and ecstasy, as well as illegal firearms. Removed several children from homes per DEC protocol.

TABLE C1. Significant Cal-MMET Project Activities and Accomplishments

County	<i>Significant Activities and Accomplishments</i>
Santa Barbara	Several investigations resulted in the service of search warrants, arrests for felony Health and Safety Code violations, and the seizure of meth, cocaine, marijuana, ecstasy, firearms, and cash. Removed several children exposed to illicit drug environments.
Santa Clara	Initiated, as well as, assisted with local, state, and federal investigations into meth manufacturing, trafficking, and distribution. Dismantled meth labs, seized precursors and chemicals, and cleaned up dump sites. Seized honey oil extraction lab components. Made arrests and seized meth, cocaine, marijuana, hashish, firearms, and assets.
Santa Cruz	Investigations included undercover operations, use of informants, and obtaining search and arrest warrants. Located and dismantled a meth lab. Seized drug assets. DEC protocol led to the removal of several children living in drug-related environments.
Shasta	Activity reported in Shasta's fiduciary narrative
Shasta Fiduciary (includes Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Shasta, and Tehama Counties)	Collaborated with local, state, and federal agencies on several cases. Discovered indoor marijuana growing site. Made numerous arrests and several suspects were federally charged. Seized meth, marijuana, precursors and essential chemicals, and drug assets, including homes and vehicles.
Sierra	Identified and arrested a meth dealer. Seized meth and cash. Removed children from dangerous drug environments.
Siskiyou	Investigations using undercover buys, confidential informants, and surveillance led to arrests, the discovery of hash labs, and the seizure of drugs, drug paraphernalia, firearms, stolen property, and cash. Children were removed from homes exposing them to drugs and placed with Child Protective Services.
Solano	Conducted several investigations that were subsequently transferred to federal authorities. Decreased the number of plea bargains offered and increased the number of prosecutions.
Sonoma	Disrupted meth sales ring. Collaborated with federal investigators. Searched vehicles with hidden compartments finding multiple pounds of meth. Made arrests. Seized meth, firearms, and cash.
Stanislaus	Assisted in federal and other states' investigations. Disrupted several DTOs. Investigated prescription drug abuse at local high schools. Discovered lab dump sites and seized meth "super" labs, precursors, and essential chemicals. Located numerous marijuana growing operations. Seized meth, cocaine, opium poppy plants, marijuana plants, processed marijuana bud, and prescription drugs.
Sutter/Yuba	Arrested suspected members of drug distribution rings. Seized meth, base and powder cocaine, marijuana, firearms, and cash. Removed children from the home of a suspected meth distributor and placed them with Child Protective Services.
Tehama	Activity reported in Shasta's fiduciary narrative
Trinity	Conducted controlled buys and identified members of DTOs. Investigated several labs. Eradicated marijuana plants. Seized meth, marijuana, and other controlled substances.
Tulare	Initiated and conducted several investigations into DTO activity. Assisted HIDTA and DEA with investigations. Responded to lab dump sites and marijuana gardens. Arrested suspected drug dealers and traffickers. Seized meth, cocaine, marijuana plants, processed marijuana, firearms, and drug assets.
Tuolumne	Seized meth and hash oil labs. Removed children from illicit, drug-related environments.

TABLE C1. Significant Cal-MMET Project Activities and Accomplishments

<i>County</i>	<i>Significant Activities and Accomplishments</i>
Ventura	Seized large quantities of meth, heroin, and cocaine, in addition to ecstasy, GHB, Ketamine, prescription narcotics, firearms, and cash. Removed several children per DEC protocol.
Yolo	Conducted investigations using undercover buys, confidential informants, controlled deliveries, and buy/bust operations. Served search warrants that led to the discovery of a meth lab and the seizure of meth, marijuana, heroin, cocaine, precursors and essential chemicals, prescription narcotics, firearms, and drug assets.

Source: 2007/2008 Progress Report Project Narratives. The data represents activities and accomplishments for FY 2007/2008.

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 PROGRESS REPORT

OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES (OES), LAW ENFORCEMENT AND VICTIM SERVICES DIVISION, PUBLIC SAFETY BRANCH, 3650 SCHRIEVER AVENUE, MATHER, CA, 95655-4203

Following the instructions, please provide the information as indicated. *Do not provide any confidential information, as this is a public document. Retain a copy for your records, e-mail a copy of the completed report to your Program Specialist, and mail the signed original report to the above address: Attention: Drug Enforcement Unit*

PROJECT TITLE	GRANT AWARD#	MH07
RECIPIENT	GRANT PERIOD	7/1/07 through 6/30/08
ADDRESS	REPORT PERIOD	
REPORT PREPARED BY:	TITLE	
PHONE	EMAIL	

PROGRESS REPORT:	DUUE:	NARRATIVE & STATISTICAL REPORT COVERING:
<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Quarter	February 1, 2008	1st quarter of grant period (7/1/07 - 9/30/07)
<input type="checkbox"/> 2nd Quarter	February 1, 2008	2nd quarter of grant period (10/1/07 - 12/31/07)
<input type="checkbox"/> 3rd Quarter	May 1, 2008 (extended to May 16)	3rd quarter of grant period (1/1/08 - 3/31/08)
<input type="checkbox"/> 4th Quarter/Final	August 1, 2008	4th quarter and entire 12-month grant period (7/1/07 - 6/30/08)

BUDGET:	Are grant funds being expended in accordance with the Grant Award Agreement? (If no, please explain)
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Total Grant Award: \$ _____ 2. Total funds expended to date: \$ _____ 3. Total encumbered but not paid for: \$ _____ 4. Total Grant balance: \$ _____ 	
<i>I certify that this report is accurate and in accordance with OES policies and procedures.</i>	
X	SIGNATURE - PROJECT DIRECTOR
	TITLE
	DATE

FOR OES USE ONLY:
<input type="checkbox"/> Report accepted as submitted (see comments) _____
<input type="checkbox"/> Report NOT accepted as submitted (see comments) _____
Report reviewed by:
SIGNATURE - PROGRAM SPECIALIST
DATE

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 PROGRESS REPORT

PERSONNEL

Positions Authorized in Grant Award Agreement (Name/Agency/Title/% Grant-Funded must be listed exactly as on the budget pages). Please attach additional pages as needed:

Name of Staff/Name of Agency	Title	% Grant Funded
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		
7.		
8.		
9.		
10.		
11.		
12.		
13.		
14.		

Yes NO Have all grant-funded positions been filled? (If NO, please explain)

Yes NO Have any of the job duties, as detailed in the Grant Award Agreement, changed? (If YES, please explain)

Yes NO Are there any personnel issues which may affect the project objectives and activities? (If YES, please explain)

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 PROGRESS REPORT

EQUIPMENT

Equipment initially authorized in the Grant Award Agreement should be ordered within the first four months so that it can be placed in service during the grant period (Grant Recipient Handbook Section 2320). If your equipment purchases exceed the space below, please attach a separate sheet to this report. Please detail any problems encountered in ordering/receiving grant equipment.

Yes NO N/A If the Grant Award allows for equipment purchases, has any equipment been purchased? (If YES, please detail below)

<i>Equipment</i>	<i>Cost</i>	<i>Date ordered/Received</i>
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		
7.		
8.		
9.		
10.		
11.		
12.		

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

To assist the project in appropriate implementation of the grant award (programmatic and/or administrative), technical assistance can be provided by your Program Specialist. Projects may request Technical Assistance at any time during the grant year.

Yes NO Please indicate if technical assistance is needed and why:

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 PROGRESS REPORT

NARRATIVE (Instructions)

The progress report is the tool used to communicate to OES on the status of the project during the specified timeframes. Please provide a detailed narrative covering, at a minimum, the following areas for the appropriate reporting period. The information provided on progress reports may be shared with other agencies. Please use as many pages as necessary.

Do not provide any confidential/information as this is a public document.

QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORTS (Due – February 1, 2008, and May 1, 2008)

- Discuss any delays and/or problems the project has experienced in meeting the terms of the Grant Award Agreement during the applicable reporting period.
- For each component, discuss those activities supporting each objective which are not currently operational.
- For each component, describe the project's activities and accomplishments to date (if available, attach press releases and news articles).
- For each component, discuss those objectives which have not been implemented, why, and what steps will be taken to complete implementation.
- Discuss the source documentation designed to track the project's statistical information (i.e., each components tracking format, statistical summary forms, etc.).
- Discuss, in detail, any significant accomplishments. Please be specific, as this information will be used to compile the Legislative report.

FINAL PROGRESS REPORT (Due – August 1, 2008)

- Discuss the impact the project has had on the drug problem identified in the project's problem statement according to the Grant Award Agreement.
- For each component, summarize the activities and accomplishments for the entire 12-month grant period (if available, attach press releases and news articles).
- Discuss, in detail, any significant accomplishments. Please be specific, as this information will be used to compile the Legislative report.
- Describe the progress the project has had in meeting the expected objectives.

STATISTICAL REPORTING FORMS

Attached are statistical reporting forms for each component of the project. Please use these forms to compile statistical data for each quarter. The data must reflect the activities of all personnel (grant funded and non-grant funded) assigned to the project. All data must be supported by source documentation which is to be retained by the grant recipient and made available to OES upon request.

Each statistical report must include the data from any/all previous reports. Compile all quarterly figures in the 'total to date' column. Attach additional sheets to further clarify the data.

PROJECT NARRATIVE (if additional space is needed please use page provided at end of document)

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 PROGRESS REPORT

LAW ENFORCEMENT COMPONENT

GOAL 1: Disrupt and dismantle clandestine labs and organizations that manufacture and distribute methamphetamine, including precursor trafficking.

OBJECTIVE 1: Target methamphetamine manufacturers and traffickers who produce or sell significant quantities of methamphetamine, including precursor trafficking; and disrupt and dismantle their clan lab organizations (manufacturing and distribution).

	<i>1st Quarter</i>	<i>2nd Quarter</i>	<i>3rd Quarter</i>	<i>4th Quarter</i>	<i>Total to Date</i>
1. Total number of meth investigations initiated	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
2. Total number of meth lab investigations initiated	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
3. Total number of precursor and essential chemicals investigations initiated	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
4. Total number of other drug investigations initiated	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
5. Total number of other drug lab investigations initiated	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
6. Total number of meth investigations closed	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
7. Total number of meth lab investigations closed	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
8. Total number of precursor and essential chemicals investigations closed	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
9. Total number of other drug investigations closed	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
10. Total number of other drug lab investigations closed	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
11. Total number of cases where assistance was provided to another agency	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
12. Total number of meth-related searches	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
a. Number of search warrants issued	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
b. Number of consent searches	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
c. Number of probation and parole searches	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
<i>NOTE: a+b+c must equal # 12 above</i>					
13. Total amount of meth seized (in grams)	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
a. Total street value of above reported seizure amount					

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 PROGRESS REPORT

	<i>1st Quarter</i>	<i>2nd Quarter</i>	<i>3rd Quarter</i>	<i>4th Quarter</i>	<i>Total to Date</i>
14. Total amount of meth "powder" seized (in grams)	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
a. Total street value of above reported seizure amount	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
15. Total amount of meth "ice" seized (in grams)	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
a. Total street value of above reported seizure amount	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
16. Total amount of ephedrine "powder" seized (in grams)	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
a. Total street value of above reported seizure amount	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
17. Total number of ephedrine "pills" seized	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
a. Total street value of above reported seizure amount	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
18. Total amount of pseudoephedrine "powder" seized (in grams)	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
a. Total street value of above reported seizure amount	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
19. Total number of pseudoephedrine "pills" seized	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
a. Total street value of above reported seizure amount	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
20. Total amount of meth solution seized (in ounces)	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
21. Total amount of other drugs seized:					
a. heroin (in grams)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
b. tar heroin (in grams)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
c. base cocaine (in grams)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
d. powder cocaine (in grams)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
e. marijuana (number of plants)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
f. marijuana (in grams)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
g. hash (in grams)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
h. hash oil (in milliliters)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
i. LSD (in tabs)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 PROGRESS REPORT

	<i>1st Quarter</i>	<i>2nd Quarter</i>	<i>3rd Quarter</i>	<i>4th Quarter</i>	<i>Total to Date</i>
j. LSD (in liquid, milliliters)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
k. ecstasy (in pills)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
l. pharmaceutical drugs (in dosage units)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
m. other (please specify, including amount/measurement)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
22. Total number of firearms seized	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
23. Total number of other weapons seized	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
24. Total number of clandestine meth labs seized	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
<i>Production capacity:</i>					
a. under 2 ounces	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
b. 2 ounces-8 ounces	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
c. 9 ounces-1 pound	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
d. 2 pounds-9 pounds	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
e. 10 pounds-19 pounds	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
f. 20 pounds or more	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
25. Total number of WSIN/EPIC/L.A. CLEAR cards submitted for clandestine meth labs seized (including cards submitted by another agency)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
26. Total number of non-meth clandestine drug labs seized	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
a. Specify type: _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
b. Specify type: _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
c. Specify type: _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
d. Specify type: _____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
27. Total number of WSIN/EPIC/L.A.CLEAR cards submitted for non-meth clandestine drug labs seized (including cards submitted by another agency)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
28. Total number of lab dump sites discovered	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 PROGRESS REPORT

	<i>1st Quarter</i>	<i>2nd Quarter</i>	<i>3rd Quarter</i>	<i>4th Quarter</i>	<i>Total to Date</i>
29. Total number of referrals to the Dept. of Toxic Substances Control for lab dump sites	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
OBJECTIVE 2: Arrest and incarcerate those responsible and use state and/or federal law to identify and seize assets related to the manufacture and distribution of methamphetamine.					
	<i>1st Quarter</i>	<i>2nd Quarter</i>	<i>3rd Quarter</i>	<i>4th Quarter</i>	<i>Total to Date</i>
1. Total number of suspects arrested	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
a. Number of meth suspects arrested	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
b. Number of meth lab suspects arrested	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
c. Number of precursor and essential chemicals suspects arrested	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
d. Number of other drug suspects arrested	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
e. Number of other drug lab suspects arrested	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
<i>NOTE: a+b+c+d+e must equal # 1 above</i>					
2. Total number of referrals for prosecution	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
a. Number of meth cases referred for prosecution	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
b. Number of meth lab cases referred for prosecution	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
c. Number of precursor and essential chemicals cases referred for prosecution	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
d. Number of other drug cases referred for prosecution	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
e. Number of other drug lab cases referred for prosecution	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
<i>NOTE: a+b+c+d+e must equal # 2 above</i>					
3. Total number of investigations resulting in assets seized	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 PROGRESS REPORT

	<i>1st Quarter</i>	<i>2nd Quarter</i>	<i>3rd Quarter</i>	<i>4th Quarter</i>	<i>Total to Date</i>
4. Total dollar amount of assets seized	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
a. Amount of cash seized	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
b. Dollar value of real property seized	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
c. Dollar value of personal property seized	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____

NOTE: a+b+c must equal #4 above

LAW ENFORCEMENT COMPONENT

GOAL 2: Focus on the safety and well being of children by coordinating activities to ensure that the child's needs are not overlooked; assist children who have been endangered by exposure to illicit drug environments and its associated hazardous lifestyle, including but not limited to, methamphetamine, its precursors, or toxic by-products; and remove children from these toxic environments.

OBJECTIVE 1: Remove children who are found in the presence of a methamphetamine lab, and/or who are found in settings involving the use, possession, sale, or transportation of illicit drugs.

	<i>1st Quarter</i>	<i>2nd Quarter</i>	<i>3rd Quarter</i>	<i>4th Quarter</i>	<i>Total to Date</i>
1. Total number of investigations initiated which resulted in finding children living in/exposed to illicit drug environments	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
a. Number of meth-related investigations initiated	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
b. Number of other drug-related investigations initiated	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
NOTE: a+b must equal #1 above					
2. Total number of investigations closed where children were living in/exposed to illicit drug environments	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
a. Number of meth-related investigations closed	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
b. Number of other drug-related investigations closed	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
NOTE: a+b must equal #2 above					
3. Total number of children removed from the home and referred to CPS	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
a. Number of children removed due to meth investigations	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 PROGRESS REPORT

	<i>1st Quarter</i>	<i>2nd Quarter</i>	<i>3rd Quarter</i>	<i>4th Quarter</i>	<i>Total to Date</i>
b. Number of children removed due to other drug investigations	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
c. Number of children removed due to clandestine meth labs investigations	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
d. Number of children removed due to non-meth clandestine drug labs investigations	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
<i>NOTE: a+b+c+d must equal #3 above</i>					
4. Total number of children tested for exposure to drugs/chemicals (write unknown if unable to answer the question but write 0 if you know that no children were tested)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
5. Total number of children testing positive for controlled substances (write 0 if you know that no children tested positive for that substance, but if it is unknown what substance they tested positive for, enter that number on line g)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
a. methamphetamine	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
b. marijuana	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
c. heroin	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
d. cocaine	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
e. chemicals	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
f. other (please specify)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
g. unknown	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
<i>NOTE: a+b+c+d+e+f+g must equal #5 above</i>					

LAW ENFORCEMENT COMPONENT

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 PROGRESS REPORT

OBJECTIVE 2: Hold accountable those individuals who willfully create a situation and/or environment where the life or limb of a child may be endangered or his/her health injured.

	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total to Date
1. Total number of individuals referred for prosecution for child endangerment per Penal Code Section 273a(a) and 273a(b)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

PROSECUTION COMPONENT (*to be answered by the District Attorney's Office if they have a position funded by the grant. The data must reflect the cases referred by the Cal-MMET program staff*)

GOAL 1: Disrupt and dismantle clandestine labs and organizations that manufacture and distribute methamphetamine, including precursor trafficking.

OBJECTIVE 1: Target methamphetamine manufacturers and traffickers who produce or sell significant quantities of methamphetamine, including precursor trafficking; and disrupt and dismantle their clan lab organizations (manufacturing and distribution).

	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter	Total to Date
1. Total number of meth-related cases filed in state court	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
2. Total number of meth-related cases filed in federal court	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
3. Total number of other-drug related cases filed in state court	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
4. Total number of other-drug related cases filed in federal court	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
5. Total number of defendants convicted of any charge	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
6. Total number of convictions	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
a. Number of felonies	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
b. Number of misdemeanors	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
NOTE: a + b must equal #6 above					
7. Total number of defendants not convicted of any charge	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
8. Total number of defendants who were not convicted of any charge because of an acquittal	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
9. Total number of defendants who were not convicted of any charge because the charges were dropped	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 PROGRESS REPORT

	<i>1st Quarter</i>	<i>2nd Quarter</i>	<i>3rd Quarter</i>	<i>4th Quarter</i>	<i>Total to Date</i>
10. Total number of defendants who were not convicted of any charge because the case was referred to another jurisdiction	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
11. Disposition					
a. Total number of defendants sentenced to jail	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
•Average jail sentence (in days)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
b. Total number of defendants sentenced to prison	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
•Average prison sentence (in months)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
c. Total number of probation/custody stayed (includes the number of defendants who plead guilty but had sentenced stayed while cooperating with the police as informants).	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
d. Total number of cases where restitution was ordered	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
 GOAL 2: Focus on the safety and well being of children by coordinating activities to ensure that the child's needs are not overlooked; assist children who have been endangered by exposure to illicit drug environments and its associated hazardous lifestyle, including but not limited to, methamphetamine, its precursors, or toxic byproducts; and remove children from these toxic environments.					
OBJECTIVE 1: Hold accountable those individuals who willfully create a situation and/or environment where the life or limb of a child may be endangered or his/her health injured (per Penal Code Section 273a(a) and 273a(b)).					
	<i>1st Quarter</i>	<i>2nd Quarter</i>	<i>3rd Quarter</i>	<i>4th Quarter</i>	<i>Total to Date</i>
1. Total number of child endangerment cases filed	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
2. Total number of child endangerment cases rejected	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
3. Total number of individuals convicted of child endangerment	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
a. Number of felonies	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
b. Number of misdemeanors	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
 NOTE: a + b must equal #3 above					
4. Total number of defendants not convicted of child endangerment	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
5. Total number of defendants who were not convicted of any	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 PROGRESS REPORT

	<i>1st Quarter</i>	<i>2nd Quarter</i>	<i>3rd Quarter</i>	<i>4th Quarter</i>	<i>Total to Date</i>
charge because of an acquittal	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
6. Total number of defendants who were not convicted of any charge because the charges were dropped	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
7. Disposition					
a. Total number of defendants sentenced to jail	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
• Average jail sentence (in days)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
b. Total number of defendants sentenced to prison	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
• Average prison sentence (in months)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
c. Total number of defendants not incarcerated	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
d. Total number of cases where restitution was ordered	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 PROGRESS REPORT

PROJECT NARRATIVE (continued)

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 EXTENSION PROGRESS REPORT

OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES (OES), LAW ENFORCEMENT AND VICTIM SERVICES DIVISION, PUBLIC SAFETY BRANCH, 3650 SCHRIEVER AVENUE, MATHER, CA, 95655-4203

Following the instructions, please provide the information as indicated. *Do not provide any confidential information, as this is a public document. Retain a copy for your records, e-mail a copy of the completed report to your Program Specialist, and mail the signed original report to the above address: Attention: Drug Enforcement Unit*

PROJECT TITLE	GRANT AWARD#	MH06
RECIPIENT	GRANT PERIOD	7/1/07 through 9/30/08
ADDRESS	REPORT PERIOD	
REPORT PREPARED BY:	TITLE	
PHONE	EMAIL	

PROGRESS REPORT:	DUCE:	NARRATIVE & STATISTICAL REPORT COVERING:
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension Period	November 1, 2008	Extension grant period (7/1/07 - 9/30/08)

BUDGET:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	Are grant funds being expended in accordance with the Grant Award Agreement? (If no, please explain)	
<hr/>		
1. Total Grant Award:	\$	
2. Total funds expended to date:	\$	
3. Total encumbered but not paid for:	\$	
4. Total Grant balance:	\$	
<i>I certify that this report is accurate and in accordance with OES policies and procedures.</i>		
X	SIGNATURE - PROJECT DIRECTOR	TITLE
		DATE

FOR OES USE ONLY:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Report accepted as submitted (see comments)	<hr/>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Report NOT accepted as submitted (see comments)	<hr/>	
<i>Report reviewed by:</i>	SIGNATURE - PROGRAM SPECIALIST	DATE

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 EXTENSION PROGRESS REPORT

PERSONNEL

Positions Authorized in Grant Award Agreement (Name/Agency/Title/% Grant-Funded must be listed exactly as on the budget pages). Please attach additional pages as needed:

Name of Staff/Name of Agency	Title	% Grant Funded
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		
7.		
8.		
9.		
10.		
11.		
12.		
13.		
14.		

Yes NO Have all grant-funded positions been filled? (If NO, please explain)

Yes NO Have any of the job duties, as detailed in the Grant Award Agreement, changed? (If YES, please explain)

Yes NO Are there any personnel issues which may affect the project objectives and activities? (If YES, please explain)

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 EXTENSION PROGRESS REPORT

EQUIPMENT

Equipment initially authorized in the Grant Award Agreement should be ordered within the first four months so that it can be placed in service during the grant period (Grant Recipient Handbook Section 2320). If your equipment purchases exceed the space below, please attach a separate sheet to this report. Please detail any problems encountered in ordering/receiving grant equipment.

Yes NO N/A If the Grant Award allows for equipment purchases, has any equipment been purchased? (If YES, please detail below)

<i>Equipment</i>	<i>Cost</i>	<i>Date ordered/Received</i>
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		
6.		
7.		
8.		
9.		
10.		
11.		
12.		

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

To assist the project in appropriate implementation of the grant award (programmatic and/or administrative), technical assistance can be provided by your Program Specialist. Projects may request Technical Assistance at any time during the grant year.

Yes NO Please indicate if technical assistance is needed and why:

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 EXTENSION PROGRESS REPORT

NARRATIVE (Instructions)

The progress report is the tool used to communicate to OES on the status of the project during the specified timeframes. Please provide a detailed narrative covering, at a minimum, the following areas for the appropriate reporting period. The information provided on progress reports may be shared with other agencies. Please use as many pages as necessary.

Do not provide any confidential information as this is a public document.

FIRST PROGRESS REPORT (Due February 1, 2008)

- Discuss any problems and/or changes the project has experienced in meeting the terms of the Grant Award Agreement during the 1st quarter of the extension period.
- Discuss those objectives which have not been implemented, why, and what steps will be taken to complete implementation.
- Complete all project activity results on the progress report data sheet.
- Discuss in detail any significant accomplishments.

STATISTICAL REPORTING FORMS

Attached are statistical reporting forms for each component of the project. Please use these forms to compile statistical data for each quarter. The data must reflect the activities of all personnel (grant funded and non-grant funded) assigned to the project. All data must be supported by source documentation which is to be retained by the grant recipient and made available to OES upon request.

Each statistical report must include the data from any/all previous reports. Compile all quarterly figures in the 'total to date' column. Attach additional sheets to further clarify the data.

PROJECT NARRATIVE (if additional space is needed please use space provided on next page)

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 EXTENSION PROGRESS REPORT

PROJECT NARRATIVE (continued)

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 EXTENSION PROGRESS REPORT

LAW ENFORCEMENT COMPONENT

GOAL 1: Disrupt and dismantle clandestine labs and organizations that manufacture and distribute methamphetamine, including precursor trafficking.

OBJECTIVE 1: Target methamphetamine manufacturers and traffickers who produce or sell significant quantities of methamphetamine, including precursor trafficking; and disrupt and dismantle their clan lab organizations (manufacturing and distribution).

Extension Period

1. Total number of meth investigations initiated _____
2. Total number of meth lab investigations initiated _____
3. Total number of precursor and essential chemicals investigations initiated _____
4. Total number of other drug investigations initiated _____
5. Total number of other drug lab investigations initiated _____
6. Total number of meth investigations closed _____
7. Total number of meth lab investigations closed _____
8. Total number of precursor and essential chemicals investigations closed _____
9. Total number of other drug investigations closed _____
10. Total number of other drug lab investigations closed _____
11. Total number of cases where assistance was provided to another agency _____
12. Total number of meth-related searches
 - a. Number of search warrants issued _____
 - b. Number of consent searches _____
 - c. Number of probation and parole searches _____

NOTE: a+b+c must equal # 12 above

13. Total amount of meth seized (in grams) \$ _____

 - a. Total street value of above reported seizure amount _____

14. Total amount of meth "powder" seized (in grams) _____

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 EXTENSION PROGRESS REPORT

Extension Period

- a. Total street value of above reported seizure amount \$ _____
15. Total amount of meth "ice" seized (in grams) \$ _____
- a. Total street value of above reported seizure amount \$ _____
16. Total amount of ephedrine "powder" seized (in grams) \$ _____
- a. Total street value of above reported seizure amount \$ _____
17. Total number of ephedrine "pills" seized \$ _____
- a. Total street value of above reported seizure amount \$ _____
18. Total amount of pseudoephedrine "powder" seized (in grams) \$ _____
- a. Total street value of above reported seizure amount \$ _____
19. Total number of pseudoephedrine "pills" seized \$ _____
- a. Total street value of above reported seizure amount \$ _____
20. Total amount of meth solution seized (in ounces) \$ _____
21. Total amount of other drugs seized:
- a. heroin (in grams) \$ _____
 - b. tar heroin (in grams) \$ _____
 - c. base cocaine (in grams) \$ _____
 - d. powder cocaine (in grams) \$ _____
 - e. marijuana (number of plants) _____
 - f. marijuana (in grams) _____
 - g. hash (in grams) _____
 - h. hash oil (in milliliters) _____
 - i. LSD (in tabs) _____
 - j. LSD (in liquid, milliliters) _____

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 EXTENSION PROGRESS REPORT

Extension Period

- k. ecstasy (in pills) _____
- l. pharmaceutical drugs (in dosage units) _____
- m. other (please specify, including amount/measurement) _____

- 22. Total number of firearms seized _____
- 23. Total number of other weapons seized _____
- 24. Total number of clandestine meth labs seized _____

Production capacity:

- a. under 2 ounces _____
- b. 2 ounces-8 ounces _____
- c. 9 ounces-1 pound _____
- d. 2 pounds-9 pounds _____
- e. 10 pounds-19 pounds _____
- f. 20 pounds or more _____

- 25. Total number of WSIN/EPIC/L.A. CLEAR cards submitted for clandestine meth labs seized (including cards submitted by another agency) _____
 - 26. Total number of non-meth clandestine drug labs seized _____
 - a. Specify type: _____
 - b. Specify type: _____
 - c. Specify type: _____
 - d. Specify type: _____
- 27. Total number of WSIN/EPIC/L.A.CLEAR cards submitted for non-meth clandestine drug labs seized (including cards submitted by another agency) _____

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 EXTENSION PROGRESS REPORT

Extension Period

28. Total number of lab dump sites discovered _____
29. Total number of referrals to the Dept. of Toxic Substances Control for lab dump sites. _____

OBJECTIVE 2: Arrest and incarcerate those responsible and use state and/or federal law to identify and seize assets related to the manufacture and distribution of methamphetamine.

Extension Period

1. Total number of suspects arrested
 - a. Number of meth suspects arrested _____
 - b. Number of meth lab suspects arrested _____
 - c. Number of precursor and essential chemicals suspects arrested _____
 - d. Number of other drug suspects arrested _____
 - e. Number of other drug lab suspects arrested _____

NOTE: a+b+c+d+e must equal # 1 above

2. Total number of referrals for prosecution
 - a. Number of meth lab cases referred for prosecution _____
 - b. Number of precursor and essential chemicals cases referred for prosecution _____
 - c. Number of other drug cases referred for prosecution _____
 - d. Number of other drug lab cases referred for prosecution _____
 - e. Number of other drug lab cases referred for prosecution _____

NOTE: a+b+c+d+e must equal # 2 above

3. Total number of investigations resulting in assets seized _____
4. Total dollar amount of assets seized \$ _____

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 EXTENSION PROGRESS REPORT

Extension Period

- a. Amount of cash seized \$ _____
- b. Dollar value of real property seized \$ _____
- c. Dollar value of personal property seized \$ _____

NOTE: a+b+c must equal #4 above

LAW ENFORCEMENT COMPONENT

GOAL 2: Focus on the safety and well being of children by coordinating activities to ensure that the child's needs are not overlooked; assist children who have been endangered by exposure to illicit drug environments and its associated hazardous lifestyle, including but not limited to, methamphetamine, its precursors, or toxic by-products; and remove children from these toxic environments.

OBJECTIVE 1: Remove children who are found in the presence of a methamphetamine lab, and/or who are found in settings involving the use, possession, sale, or transportation of illicit drugs.

Extension Period

- 1. Total number of investigations initiated which resulted in finding children living in/exposed to illicit drug environments _____
 - a. Number of meth-related investigations initiated _____
 - b. Number of other drug-related investigations initiated _____

NOTE: a+b must equal #1 above

- 2. Total number of investigations closed where children were living in/exposed to illicit drug environments _____
 - a. Number of meth-related investigations closed _____
 - b. Number of other drug-related investigations closed _____
- 3. Total number of children removed from the home and referred to CPS _____
 - a. Number of children removed due to meth investigations _____
 - b. Number of children removed due to other drug investigations _____

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 EXTENSION PROGRESS REPORT

Extension Period

- c. Number of children removed due to clandestine meth labs investigations _____
- d. Number of children removed due to non-meth clandestine drug labs investigations _____

NOTE: a+b+c+d must equal #3 above

- 4. Total number of children tested for exposure to drugs/chemicals (write unknown if unable to answer the question but write 0 if you know that no children were tested) _____

- 5. Total number of children testing positive for controlled substances (write 0 if you know that no children tested positive for that substance, but if it is unknown what substance they tested positive for, enter that number on line g) _____

- a. methamphetamine _____
- b. marijuana _____
- c. heroin _____
- d. cocaine _____
- e. chemicals _____
- f. other (please specify) _____
- g. unknown _____

NOTE: a+b+c+d+e+f+g must equal #5 above

LAW ENFORCEMENT COMPONENT

- OBJECTIVE 2:** Hold accountable those individuals who willfully create a situation and/or environment where the life or limb of a child may be endangered or his/her health injured.
-

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 EXTENSION PROGRESS REPORT

Extension Period

1. Total number of individuals referred for prosecution for child endangerment per Penal Code Section 273a(a) and 273a(b) _____

PROSECUTION COMPONENT (to be answered by the District Attorney's Office if they have a position funded by the grant. The data must reflect the cases referred by the Cal-MMET program staff)

GOAL 1: Disrupt and dismantle clandestine labs and organizations that manufacture and distribute methamphetamine, including precursor trafficking.

OBJECTIVE 1: Target methamphetamine manufacturers and traffickers who produce or sell significant quantities of methamphetamine, including precursor trafficking; and disrupt and dismantle their clan lab organizations (manufacturing and distribution).

Extension Period

1. Total number of meth-related cases filed in state court _____
 2. Total number of meth-related cases filed in federal court _____
 3. Total number of other-drug related cases filed in state court _____
 4. Total number of other-drug related cases filed in federal court _____
 5. Total number of defendants convicted of any charge _____
 6. Total number of convictions
 - a. Number of felonies _____
 - b. Number of misdemeanors _____
- NOTE: a + b must equal #6 above**
7. Total number of defendants not convicted of any charge _____
 8. Total number of defendants who were not convicted of any charge because of an acquittal _____
 9. Total number of defendants who were not convicted of any charge because the charges were dropped _____
 10. Total number of defendants who were not convicted of any charge because the case was referred to another jurisdiction _____
 11. Disposition _____

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 EXTENSION PROGRESS REPORT

Extension Period

- a. Total number of defendants sentenced to jail _____
 - Average jail sentence (in days) _____
- b. Total number of defendants sentenced to prison _____
 - Average prison sentence (in months) _____
- c. Total number of probation/custody stayed (includes the number of defendants who plead guilty but had sentenced stayed while cooperating with the police as informants). _____
- d. Total number of cases where restitution was ordered _____

GOAL 2: Focus on the safety and well being of children by coordinating activities to ensure that the child's needs are not overlooked; assist children who have been endangered by exposure to illicit drug environments and its associated hazardous lifestyle, including but not limited to, methamphetamine, its precursors, or toxic byproducts; and remove children from these toxic environments.

OBJECTIVE 1: Hold accountable those individuals who willfully create a situation and/or environment where the life or limb of a child may be endangered or his/her health injured (per Penal Code Section 273(a) and 273(a)(b))

Extension Period

1. Total number of child endangerment cases filed _____
 2. Total number of child endangerment cases rejected _____
 3. Total number of individuals convicted of child endangerment
 - a. Number of felonies _____
 - b. Number of misdemeanors _____
- NOTE: a + b must equal #3 above**
4. Total number of defendants not convicted of child endangerment _____
 5. Total number of defendants who were not convicted of any charge because of an acquittal _____
 6. Total number of defendants who were not convicted of any charge because the charges were dropped _____

CALIFORNIA MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL METHAMPHETAMINE ENFORCEMENT TEAM (Cal-MMET) PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 07/08 EXTENSION PROGRESS REPORT

Extension Period

7. Disposition
 - a. Total number of defendants sentenced to jail
 - Average jail sentence (in days)
 - b. Total number of defendants sentenced to prison
 - Average prison sentence (in months)
 - c. Total number of defendants not incarcerated
 - d. Total number of cases where restitution was ordered

APPENDIX D

TABLE D1. Total Number of Investigations Initiated and Completed by Region and County for FY 07/08

Region and County	Investigations								Other Drug Lab			
	All		Meth		Precursors and Essential Chemicals		Other Drug		Initiated	Closed	Initiated	Closed
	Initiated	Closed	Initiated	Closed	Initiated	Closed	Initiated	Closed				
NORTHERN												
Butte	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colusa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	138	100	44	28	4	4	2	1	85	64	3	3
Glenn	13	7	10	6	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0
Humboldt	162	109	63	39	1	1	0	0	98	69	0	0
Lassen	37	21	28	15	3	3	1	0	4	2	1	1
Modoc	14	3	12	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nevada	87	82	82	78	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Placer	36	31	15	15	0	0	0	0	21	16	0	0
Plumas	36	21	28	15	2	1	1	1	4	3	1	1
Shasta	52	52	30	28	3	4	1	1	18	19	0	0
Sierra	18	16	9	7	0	0	0	0	9	9	0	0
Siskiyou	16	6	14	6	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Sutter/Yuba	89	81	77	69	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tehama	14	12	4	3	0	0	0	0	10	9	0	0
Trinity	116	53	50	26	6	0	0	0	59	26	1	1
Yolo	29	16	29	15	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	857	610	495	351	39	32	5	3	311	218	7	6
BAY AREA												
Alameda	28	26	28	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	149	82	101	29	7	7	5	2	35	44	1	0
Marin	68	22	63	17	1	1	1	1	3	3	0	0
Mendocino	230	230	78	78	0	0	2	2	148	148	2	2
Monterey	127	55	81	27	1	1	0	0	45	27	0	0
Napa	137	126	60	60	2	2	0	0	75	63	0	1
San Benito	66	64	49	49	1	1	1	0	14	14	1	0
San Francisco	102	94	100	93	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
San Mateo	474	305	106	111	0	0	0	0	368	194	0	0
Santa Clara	175	28	153	13	10	5	7	4	5	6	0	0
Santa Cruz	86	65	36	24	2	2	0	0	48	39	0	0
Sonoma	79	52	54	29	0	0	0	0	25	23	0	0
Total	1,721	1,149	909	556	25	19	17	10	766	561	4	3

TABLE D1. Total Number of Investigations Initiated and Completed by Region and County for FY 07/08

Region and County	Investigations						Other Drug Lab		
	All		Meth		Precursors and Essential Chemicals		Other Drug		
	Initiated	Closed	Initiated	Closed	Initiated	Closed	Initiated	Closed	Initiated
CENTRAL									
Alpine	82	60	42	29	4	4	2	33	23
Amador	46	43	13	10	3	3	2	27	1
Calaveras	180	139	145	96	9	8	0	24	33
Fresno	452	268	261	106	129	110	14	48	39
Inyo	84	85	55	56	0	0	0	29	29
Kern	405	357	352	314	5	1	1	47	41
Madera	15	0	11	0	2	0	1	0	0
Merced	420	296	251	233	58	5	54	2	52
Mono	234	228	46	47	1	1	0	187	180
Sacramento	49	40	34	34	15	6	0	0	0
San Joaquin	47	45	19	17	7	6	0	21	22
Solano	65	4	61	1	2	2	1	0	0
Stanislaus	440	142	95	52	45	8	21	17	65
Tulare	114	105	90	84	12	11	1	0	0
Tuolumne	219	204	117	109	100	94	1	0	0
Total	2,852	2,016	1,592	1,188	392	259	97	37	759
SOUTHERN									
Los Angeles	212	136	107	66	44	33	24	24	35
Orange	26	1	7	1	0	0	0	19	0
Riverside	121	70	75	38	12	11	14	5	18
San Bernardino	507	407	193	189	19	17	8	7	283
San Luis Obispo	131	100	70	45	9	8	1	1	190
Santa Barbara	79	71	60	47	1	1	0	0	46
Ventura	138	13	35	11	0	0	0	103	17
Total	1,214	798	547	397	85	70	47	37	526
SOUTHWEST BORDER									
Imperial	181	170	69	61	1	1	1	0	110
San Diego	53	27	52	26	1	1	0	0	0
Total	234	197	121	87	2	2	1	0	110
STATEWIDE									
Total	6,878								

TABLE D2. Total Number of Searches and Meth Seized by Region and County for FY 2007/2008

Region and County	Searches				Meth Seized			
	Search Warrants Issued	Consent	Probation/Parole	Meth-Related	Powder (in grams)	Street Value of Powder (in dollars)	Ice (in grams)	Street Value of Ice (in dollars)
NORTHERN								
Butte	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	381.77	3,244.30
Colusa	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
El Dorado	32	3	3	33	800.90	65,361.00	14,550.80	336,221.00
Glenn	3	0	0	3	0.00	0.00	198.52	12,086.00
Humboldt	38	0	16	55	0.00	0.00	1,151.87	107,524.00
Lassen	9	0	40	49	0.00	0.00	244.80	24,480.00
Modoc	1	0	2	3	0.00	0.00	8.30	550.00
Nevada	17	8	11	37	99.00	9,852.00	959.00	95,803.00
Placer	34	4	7	43	0.00	0.00	7,516.13	742,486.50
Plumas	9	1	7	16	0.00	0.00	459.18	31,934.00
Shasta	10	6	5	3	0.00	0.00	1,416.36	17,432.00
Sierra	3	8	41	52	0.00	0.00	23.00	0.00
Siskiyou	13	0	1	11	0.00	0.00	50.92	5,092.00
Sutter/Yuba	42	4	16	62	4,698.26	375,860.80	0.00	0.00
Tehama	1	3	0	0	0.00	0.00	621.00	27,355.00
Trinity	12	15	338	365	0.00	0.00	56.20	3,820.00
Yolo	23	2	0	25	0.00	0.00	3,717.90	371,790.00
Total	247	54	487	757	5,598.16	451,073.80	31,355.75	1,779,817.80
BAY AREA								
Alameda	14	1	4	19	0.00	0.00	17,360.70	2,063,776.00
Contra Costa	101	16	22	124	4,318.00	203,800.00	20,015.50	1,029,325.00
Lake	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Marin	15	4	24	43	67.35	2,500.00	47,149.30	586,701.00
Mendocino	63	0	12	35	0.00	0.00	3,053.50	305,350.00
Monterey	33	1	3	37	0.00	0.00	3,770.00	151,918.00
Napa	44	6	28	76	0.00	0.00	5,176.78	305,085.00
San Benito	23	16	37	76	1,474.00	147,400.00	2,822.15	408,746.00
San Francisco	64	2	13	69	0.00	0.00	4,348.00	434,801.00
San Mateo	30	13	25	68	233.31	9,999.00	20,244.35	1,926,972.00
Santa Clara	12	30	17	59	493.00	18,000.00	8,898.00	451,100.00
Santa Cruz	32	0	8	39	0.00	0.00	894.00	79,110.00
Sonoma	25	6	18	34	4,097.00	135,363.00	14,444.00	477,225.00
Total	456	95	211	679	10,682.66	517,062.00	148,176.28	8,220,109.00
CENTRAL								
Alpine	5	4	57	66	49.00	3,687.00	0.00	0.00
Amador	6	6	33	45	0.00	0.00	174.30	20,872.00
Calaveras	15	15	80	110	48.00	3,800.00	39.00	2,970.00
Fresno	43	15	13	76	5,762.00	138,973.57	16,806.57	900,143.60
Inyo	8	61	109	143	0.00	0.00	810.00	81,030.00

TABLE D2. Total Number of Searches and Meth Seized by Region and County for FY 2007/2008

Region and County	Searches				Meth Seized			
	Search Warrants Issued	Consent Searches	Probation/Parole	Meth-Related	Powder (in grams)	Street Value of Powder (in dollars)	Ice (in grams)	Street Value of Ice (in dollars)
Kern	39	257	36	332	1,484.20	148,371.00	3,138.40	313,840.00
Madera	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Merced	37	58	36	131	5,141.15	290,337.00	255.95	31,642.50
Mono	17	37	45	72	0.00	0.00	91.28	9,128.00
Sacramento	37	2	17	55	714.00	85,680.00	12,831.00	1,924,650.00
San Joaquin	7	2	3	10	0.00	0.00	2,446.04	223,078.00
Solano	66	0	218	284	138.89	5,555.00	1,476.70	59,068.00
Stanislaus	39	0	36	75	8,006.28	266,833.00	5,911.11	602,918.00
Tulare	16	5	1	21	473.70	9,474.00	8,314.00	342,560.00
Tuolumne	3	4	80	87	0.00	0.00	1'9.45	9,134.75
Total	338	466	764	1,507	21,817.22	952,710.57	52,413.80	4,521,034.85
SOUTHERN								
Los Angeles	21	19	2	42	9,979.00	1,596,640.00	97,051.00	15,528,160.00
Orange	0	12	1	12	0.00	0.00	3,088.75	160,334.50
Riverside	55	15	5	75	379.00	10,000.00	46,750.00	2,060,900.00
San Bernardino	77	26	103	206	41,260.00	1,296,887.00	35,940.00	1,014,720.00
San Luis Obispo	25	6	15	46	4.80	150.00	3,379.50	114,550.00
Santa Barbara	34	9	10	53	0.00	0.00	1,983.25	198,325.00
Ventura	8	1	1	10	17.50	1,400.00	28,389.60	2,271,168.00
Total	220	88	137	444	51,640.30	2,905,077.00	216,582.10	21,348,157.5
SOUTHWEST BORDER								
Imperial	9	14	38	61	0.00	0.00	12,649.87	1,069,192.80
San Diego	57	0	0	57	7,790.60	176,523.03	26,242.58	717,095.62
Total	66	14	38	118	7,790.60	176,523.03	38,892.45	1,786,288.42
STATEWIDE	Total	1,327	717	1,637	3,505	97,528.94	5,002,446.40	487,420.38
								37,655,407.57

NOTE: The sum of search warrants issued, consent, and probation/parole searches will not equal the total number of meth-related searches. The range for the street value of meth powder seized is \$20.00/gram to \$160/gram. The average street value for meth powder in the state is \$51.29/gram. The range for the street value of meth ice seized is \$8.50/gram to \$160.00/gram. The average street value for meth ice in the state is \$77.25/gram.

TABLE D3. Total Number of Precursor and Essential Chemicals Seized by Region for FY 2007/2008

Region	Ephedrine "Powder" (in grams)	Ephedrine "Pills"	Pseudoephedrine "Powder" (in grams)	Pseudoephedrine "Pills"	Meth Solution (in ounces)
Northern	0	0	50.5	1,121	25
Bay Area	20	0	85.5	15,128	1,040
Central	0	0	70,727	17,031	22,960.25
Southern	44,795	0	756,502.8	366,440	100,672
Southwest Border	0	0	0	0	0
Total	44,815	0	827,365.80	399,720	124,697.25

NOTE: In the Northern Region, Yolo seized the total amount of pseudoephedrine "powder" and 1,102 pseudoephedrine "pills," while Sutter/Yuba seized the total amount of meth solution in the region. In the Bay Area, San Benito seized the total amount of pseudoephedrine "powder" and Santa Clara seized 13,768 pseudoephedrine "pills." Of the pseudoephedrine "powder" seized in the Central Region, Fresno seized 68,569 grams of the total amount, in addition to seizing 21,440 ounces of meth solution. In the Southern Region, Los Angeles seized 27,215 grams of ephedrine "powder" and 365,400 pseudoephedrine "pills." San Bernardino, also in the Southern Region, seized 17,580 grams of ephedrine "powder"; 751,468 grams of pseudoephedrine "powder"; and 90,240 ounces of meth solution. San Bernardino also reported seizing 644.15 pounds of ephedrine "pills."

TABLE D4. Total Number of Weapons Seized by Region for FY 2007/2008

Region	Weapons		Total
	Firearms	Other	
Northern	335	27	362
Bay Area	335	50	385
Central	294	82	376
Southern	196	30	226
Southwest Border	28	35	63
Total	1,188	224	1,412

**TABLE D5. Total Number of Other Major Drugs Seized
by Region and County for FY 2007/2008**

Region and County	Other Drugs Seized						
	Cocaine (in grams)	Heroin (in grams)	Marijuana (in grams)	Marijuana Plants	Hash (in grams)	Ecstasy Pills	Pharmaceuticals (in dosage units)
NORTHERN							
Butte	25.8	297.7	1,076.7	0	27.1	0	0
Colusa	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
El Dorado	14,467.3	1.2	187,180.7	13,251	18.7	2,155	1,643
Glenn	759.8	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Humboldt	721.9	4,119.0	1,292,102.8	19,450	686.9	75	0
Lassen	102.7	100.1	997.9	0	0.4	0	15
Modoc	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Nevada	125.5	0.0	29,552.0	47	10.0	0	391
Placer	26,372.8	131.3	4,170.4	0	0.9	610	124
Plumas	26.7	4.0	142.7	0	0.0	0	0
Shasta	12,899.4	0.0	66,391.7	56	1.6	0	171
Sierra	0.0	0.0	2,821.0	2,886	0.0	0	0
Siskiyou	0.0	0.0	2,492.7	57	21.9	0	0
Sutter/Yuba	672.9	1.4	191.0	0	0.0	0	66
Tehama	17,634.7	0.0	41,768.0	159,354	0.0	0	8
Trinity	0.0	0.0	2,307.0	39,241	33.0	0	9,138
Yolo	40.6	149.8	355.8	0	0.0	5,639	49
Total	73,850.1	4,804.5	1,631,550.4	234,342	800.5	8,479	11,605
BAY AREA							
Alameda	15.4	82.4	67.0	0	0.0	0	0
Contra Costa	24,030.6	63.0	5,448.0	1,860	998.8	64	85
Marin	231.9	183.5	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Mendocino	12.8	4.0	328,277.1	8,805	1,423.4	0	111
Monterey	1,976.0	86.1	557,134.0	72,110	0.0	163	78
Napa	106.7	0.0	14,510.5	113,746	0.0	6	122
San Benito	1,538.4	2.0	12,879.8	149	15.0	65	19
San Francisco	5,286.0	2,086.4	922.0	0	0.0	710	310
San Mateo	8,883.4	6,375.1	170,581.1	25,518	18.5	182,233	358
Santa Clara	3,026.0	134.0	11,457.0	44	471.0	133	47
Santa Cruz	1,766.0	664.0	3,466.0	348	1.0	1	0
Sonoma	590.0	57.0	44,557.0	9,415	0.0	0	0
Total	47,463.2	9,737.4	1,149,299.4	231,995	2,927.7	183,375	1,130
CENTRAL							
Alpine	87.0	1.0	30,149.0	339	226.0	12	5
Amador	7.8	42.3	2,520.2	3,679	503.9	0	5,529
Calaveras	0.1	0.0	315.0	21	13.0	254	605
Fresno	1,085.7	52.0	224,978.9	50,018	16.1	422	28
Inyo	3,166.0	0.0	2.0	0	0.0	0	1
Kern	86.4	69.0	4,594.5	11,247	0.0	96	0
Madera	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Merced	21.1	1.0	9,438.8	5,173	2.1	78	162
Mono	177.9	0.0	15,073.0	84	4.5	2	89
Sacramento	11,841.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
San Joaquin	392.7	107.0	4,365.0	4,589	0.0	0	0
Solano	631.0	12.8	5,344.3	15,489	1.6	17	430
Stanislaus	3,144.0	5,315.3	185,046.9	8,559	209.7	0	2,316
Tulare	110,664.8	3.0	2,456.6	2,751	3.0	0	0
Tuolumne	0.0	0.0	46.3	0	18.7	0	134
Total	131,305.5	5,603.4	484,330.4	101,949	998.5	881	9,299

**TABLE D5. Total Number of Other Major Drugs Seized
by Region and County for FY 2007/2008 (cont.)**

Region and County	Other Drugs Seized						
	Cocaine (in grams)	Heroin (in grams)	Marijuana (in grams)	Marijuana Plants	Hash (in grams)	Ecstasy Pills	Pharmaceuticals (in dosage units)
Southern							
Los Angeles	43,827.5	3,721.6	1,165,372.0	0	57.0	100,000	417,000
Orange	34,931.5	667.0	33,288.0	0	0.0	1,031	0
Riverside	4,133.5	843.5	2,642,336.0	1,065	0.0	785	0
San Bernardino	378,253.0	6,013.0	2,942,037.0	5,970	0.0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	979.2	79.7	31,059.6	33,333	222.0	1	3,661
Santa Barbara	865.8	123.9	18.0	253	0.0	66	139
Ventura	14,605.9	63,109.4	1,505.0	20	0.0	1,500	138
Total	477,596.3	74,558.0	6,815,615.6	40,641	279.0	103,383	420,938
Southwest Border							
Imperial	287,217.3	28.2	6,417,644.4	5	0.0	0	18
San Diego	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0
Total	287,217.3	28.2	6,417,644.4	5	0.0	0	18
Statewide							
Total	1,017,432.4	94,731.6	16,498,440.1	608,932	5005.7	296,118	442,990

Note: Regional and statewide totals may not equal the sum of individual counties because of rounding. Heroin includes tar heroin. Cocaine includes "powder" and "base" forms of the drug. Data for other drugs seized where there were insufficient totals statewide were not included in this table.

**TABLE D6. Total Number of Clandestine Meth Labs Seized
by Production Capacity and Region for FY 2007/2008**

Region	Production Capacity						Total
	Under 2 ounces	2 - 8 ounces	9 ounces - 1 pound	2 - 9 pounds	10 - 19 pounds	20 pounds and greater	
Northern	7	13	2	0	0	0	26*
Bay Area	3	3	2	1	1	1	11
Central	16	11	8	5	6	8	54
Southern	9	11	5	6	4	2	37
Southwest Border	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
Total	36	38	17	13	11	11	130*

Note: The * indicates that the number of labs seized does not equal the sum of labs seized by production capacity because one county did not report the production capacities for four of the labs it seized.

**TABLE D7. Total Number of WSIN/EPIC/L.A. CLEAR Cards Submitted
for Clandestine Meth Labs Seized by Region for FY 2007/2008**

Region	Cards Submitted
Northern	19
Bay Area	12
Central	50
Southern	35
Southwest Border	2
Total	118

**TABLE D8. Total Number of Dump Sites Discovered by Region and County
for FY 2007/2008**

<i>Region and County</i>	<i>Lab Dump Sites</i>	<i>Region and County</i>	<i>Lab Dump Sites</i>	
NORTHERN				
Butte	0	Alpine	0	
Colusa	0	Amador	4	
El Dorado	0	Calaveras	1	
Glenn	0	Fresno	98	
Humboldt	0	Inyo	0	
Lassen	0	Kern	1	
Modoc	0	Madera	0	
Nevada	0	Merced	94	
Placer	0	Mono	0	
Plumas	0	Sacramento	2	
Shasta	0	San Joaquin	3	
Sierra	0	Solano	0	
Siskiyou	0	Stanislaus	29	
Sutter/Yuba	5	Tulare	4	
Tehama	0	Tuolumne	0	
Trinity	0			
Yolo	0			
Total	5	Total	236	
BAY AREA				
Alameda	0	Los Angeles	0	
Contra Costa	3	Orange	0	
Marin	0	Riverside	2	
Mendocino	2	San Bernardino	1	
Monterey	0	San Luis Obispo	1	
Napa	0	Santa Barbara	0	
San Benito	0	Ventura	0	
San Francisco	0			
San Mateo	0	Total	4	
Santa Clara	4	SOUTHWEST BORDER		
Santa Cruz	0	Imperial	0	
Sonoma	0	San Diego	0	
Total	9	Total	0	
STATEWIDE				
		Total	254	

TABLE D9. Total Number of Referrals to the Department of Toxic Substances Control for Dump Sites by Region and County for FY 2007/2008

<i>Region and County</i>	<i>Referrals</i>
NORTHERN	
Butte	0
Colusa	0
El Dorado	0
Glenn	0
Humboldt	0
Lassen	0
Modoc	0
Nevada	0
Placer	0
Plumas	0
Shasta	0
Sierra	0
Siskiyou	0
Sutter/Yuba	2
Tehama	0
Trinity	0
Yolo	0
Total	2
BAY AREA	
Alameda	0
Contra Costa	1
Marin	0
Mendocino	2
Monterey	0
Napa	0
San Benito	0
San Francisco	0
San Mateo	0
Santa Clara	2
Santa Cruz	2
Sonoma	0
Total	7
CENTRAL	
Alpine	0
Amador	3
Calaveras	1
Fresno	98
Inyo	0
Kern	1
Madera	0
Merced	94
Mono	0
Sacramento	2
San Joaquin	3
Solano	0
Stanislaus	35
Tulare	4
Tuolumne	0
Total	241
SOUTHERN	
Los Angeles	0
Orange	0
Riverside	2
San Bernardino	1
San Luis Obispo	1
Santa Barbara	0
Ventura	0
Total	4
SOUTHWEST BORDER	
Imperial	0
San Diego	0
Total	0
STATEWIDE	
Total	254

**TABLE D10. Total Number of Suspects Arrested and Cases Referred for Prosecution
by Region and County for FY 2007/2008**

Region and County	Arrests			Cases Referred for Prosecution		
	Meth	Meth Lab-Related	Other Drug-Related	Meth	Meth Lab-Related	Other Drug-Related
NORTHERN						
Butte	19	0	1	0	0	0
Colusa	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	57	2	59	45	2	62
Glenn	2	0	3	1	0	1
Humboldt	63	5	137	63	5	137
Lassen	33	0	2	17	0	1
Modoc	3	0	0	3	0	0
Nevada	42	2	3	42	2	3
Placer	55	0	22	55	0	22
Plumas	21	2	5	20	2	4
Shasta	16	3	17	11	2	14
Sierra	4	0	0	4	0	0
Siskiyou	3	0	5	12	0	4
Sutter/Yuba	82	9	3	83	8	3
Tehama	1	0	14	1	0	8
Trinity	20	0	23	24	0	18
Yolo	44	1	2	43	1	2
Total	465	24	296	424	22	279
BAY AREA						
Alameda	23	0	0	24	0	0
Contra Costa	72	5	22	70	3	21
Marin	61	2	0	59	2	4
Mendocino	80	2	133	56	2	94
Monterey	54	0	58	40	0	26
Napa	61	2	60	61	2	60
San Benito	66	3	22	66	2	20
San Francisco	72	0	13	63	0	13
San Mateo	127	0	162	127	0	162
Santa Clara	27	12	13	27	12	13
Santa Cruz	55	3	45	55	3	47
Sonoma	58	0	11	31	0	19
Total	756	29	539	679	26	479
Central						
Alpine	5	0	10	7	0	11
Amador	24	13	33	10	4	28
Calaveras	27	11	19	34	6	18
Fresno	89	33	53	56	18	31
Inyo	43	0	40	25	0	16
Kern	279	8	49	278	8	34
Madera	0	0	0	0	0	0
Merced	176	11	68	170	5	48
Mono	43	1	186	41	1	185
Sacramento	63	17	6	25	10	0
San Joaquin	11	6	10	11	7	12
Solano	117	2	0	118	1	0
Stanislaus	33	49	47	53	27	34
Tulare	41	0	6	41	0	6
Tuolumne	33	5	0	29	2	0
Total	984	156	527	898	89	423
SOUTHERN						

**TABLE D10. Total Number of Suspects Arrested and Cases Referred for Prosecution
by Region and County for FY 2007/2008**

Region and County	Arrests			Cases Referred for Prosecution		
	Meth	Meth Lab-Related	Other Drug-Related	Meth	Meth Lab-Related	Other Drug-Related
Los Angeles	94	16	16	95	15	15
Orange	12	0	22	12	0	21
Riverside	66	20	30	31	14	18
San Bernardino	76	26	264	189	19	113
San Luis Obispo	100	2	59	80	1	35
Santa Barbara	93	0	49	72	0	29
Ventura	28	0	17	18	0	10
Total	469	64	457	497	49	241
SOUTHWEST BORDER						
Imperial	106	4	117	82	1	88
San Diego	143	0	0	34	0	0
Total	249	4	117	116	1	88
STATEWIDE						
Total	2,923	277	1,936	2,614	187	1,510

Notes: The term 'meth lab-related' refers to meth lab and precursor and essential chemical arrests and cases referred for prosecution. The term 'other drug-related' refers to other drug and other drug lab arrests and cases referred for prosecution. The data may underreport the actual number of arrests and referrals for prosecution due to inconsistent reporting.

TABLE D11. Total Number of Investigations Resulting in Assets Seized and Total Value of Assets Seized by Region and County for FY2007/2008

Region and County	Investigations	Value of Assets (in dollars)
NORTHERN		
Butte	0	16,890.00
Colusa	0	0.00
El Dorado	39	2,194,478.28
Glenn	1	16,000.00
Humboldt	73	942,743.35
Lassen	6	16,180.00
Modoc	1	1,499.00
Nevada	11	59,431.00
Placer	19	5,441,043.18
Plumas	5	46,019.74
Shasta	7	63,091.00
Sierra	1	5,137.00
Siskiyou	0	0.00
Sutter/Yuba	15	75,617.89
Tehama	6	17,747.00
Trinity	0	2,000.00
Yolo	7	73,387.00
Total	191	8,971,264.44
BAY AREA		
Alameda	7	69,719.00
Contra Costa	30	787,756.00
Lake	3	13,157.00
Marin	39	873,237.69
Mendocino	30	203,944.00
Monterey	28	200,962.00
Napa	18	399,096.00
San Benito	23	100,258.00
San Francisco	33	533,165.00
San Mateo	13	1,200,504.00
Santa Clara	13	104,232.00
Santa Cruz	12	46,046.00
Total	249	4,532,076.69
CENTRAL		
Alpine	13	65,022.00
Amador	8	8,433.00
Calaveras	5	14,573.00
Fresno	15	82,130.00
Inyo	4	37,650.00
Kern	24	256,069.25
Madera	0	0.00
Merced	15	47,825.00
Mono	4	124,000.00
Sacramento	12	100,923.00
San Joaquin	7	325,282.10
Solano	8	28,872.00
Stanislaus	22	902,355.62
Tulare	14	246,906.00
Tuolumne	3	15,501.00
Total	154	2,255,541.97
SOUTHERN		
Los Angeles	33	4,559,332.00
Orange	13	1,538,928.00
Riverside	15	463,329.00
San Bernardino	111	6,661,908.00
San Luis Obispo	17	91,556.00
Santa Barbara	10	44,172.17
Ventura	11	1,080,669.19
Total	210	14,439,894.36
SOUTHWEST BORDER		
Imperial	9	238,609.05
San Diego	28	711,092.01
Total	37	949,701.06
STATEWIDE		
Total	841	31,148,478.52

Note: Assets seized includes, cash, real property, and personal property. In the Northern Region, Butte and Trinity were unable to provide the total number of investigations resulting in assets seized.

TABLE D12. Total Number of Meth and Other Drug-Related Investigations Where Children Were Living In or Exposed to Illicit Drug Environments and Subsequently Removed and Referred to CPS by Region for FY 2007/2008

Region	Investigations				Children Removed and Referred	
	Initiated		Closed			
	Meth-Related	Other Drug-Related	Meth-Related	Other Drug-Related		
Northern	41	17	37	19	75	
Bay Area	75	34	70	15	92	
Central	273	77	265	64	225	
Southern	230	68	184	52	571	
Southwest Border	33	11	17	9	75	
Total	652	207	573	159	1,038	

Notes: Child endangerment refers to Penal Code § 273a(a) and 273a(b). The data may underreport the actual number of investigations initiated and closed and the number of children removed and referred due to inconsistent reporting.

Table D13. Total Number of Individuals Referred for Prosecution for Child Endangerment by Region for FY 2007/2008

Region	Individuals Referred
Northern	51
Bay Area	55
Central	106
Southern	489
Southwest Border	36
Total	737

Note: Child endangerment refers to Penal Code § 273a(a) and 273a(b).

TABLE D14. Total Number of Cases Filed and Defendants Convicted and Sentenced by County for FY 2007/2008

County	Meth-Related Cases Filed		Other Drug-Related Cases Filed		Defendants Convicted	Number Of Convictions	Number Sentenced To Jail	Average Jail Sentence (in days)	Number Sentenced To Prison	Average Prison Sentence (in months)
	State Court	Federal Court	State Court	Federal Court						
Del Norte	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno	36	1	12	2	70	70	23	178	30	38
Imperial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inyo	6	0	3	1	16	8	4	344	3	38
Nevada	43	0	6	0	13	11	5	220	0	0
Sacramento	61	6	23	0	43	47	20	164	17	33
San Bernardino	147	0	0	0	104	104	34	183	36	41
Shasta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stanislaus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tuolumne	27	0	0	0	17	17	7	159	1	24
Total	320	7	44	3	263	257	93	208	87	35

Note: The data reported in this table do not include statistics provided by counties that did not have a grant-funded prosecution component. Averages have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

TABLE D15. Total Number of Child Endangerment Cases Filed and Defendants Convicted and Sentenced by County for FY 2007/2008

County	Cases Filed	Convictions	Defendants Sentenced to Jail	Average Jail Sentence (in days)	Defendants Sentenced to Prison	Average Prison Sentence (in months)
Del Norte	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno	25	29	11	279	17	47
Imperial	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inyo	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nevada	5	0	0	0	0	0
Sacramento	9	6	3	158	2	30
San Bernardino	130	98	41	124	4	72
Shasta	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stanislaus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tuolumne	1	1	0	0	0	0
Total	170	134	55	187	23	50

Note: Child endangerment refers to Penal Code § 273a(a) and 273a(b). The data reported in this table do not include statistics provided by counties that did not have a grant-funded prosecution component. Averages have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

